# ANALYSIS OF BOTSWANA CHILD FOCUSED INDICATORS 

Based on<br>2001 Population and Housing Census

## PREFACE

Availability of indicators on the child population is critical for understanding the situation of the children in Botswana. Of fundamental importance in this regard is the fact that the Government of Botswana has committed itself to the Declaration and Plan of Action for Children. Periodic assessment of the levels of critical indicators is indeed a logical step.

The report is largely based on the data from the 2001 Population and Housing Census. In order to assess indicator trends, the 1991 Census figures are also used. Focus in this report is on the population aged 17 years and below. However, to provide proper context of the situation of children, some characteristics of the entire population are given.

This report contains 5 chapters which are arranged as follows: Chapter 1 provides an insight into the socio-demographics of the children population. Chapter 2 focuses on orphan children, as a special group of children that require attention. Chapters 3 and 4 give a general profile of the population and households, respectively. Lastly, for completeness, Chapter 5 concludes the report with some precautionary notes regarding the limitations of the data and implications on the indicators.

We would like to thank the many people who provided input into the report. In particular, we express appreciation to the Central Statistics Office, for providing the data used to produce the report.
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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The main findings for child-focused indicators from the analysis of the 2001 census are summarised below:

## 1. Children Socio-Demographic Analysis

## Children Characteristics

The percentage share of the child population to the national population declined between 1991 and 2001, from 50.5 to 43.9 percent. Botswana's population is still relatively young, although the extent of this varies across districts. In the North East district the child population comprise 51.4 percent of the district population. Other districts with high percentage of children are Central with 49.1 and Southern with 48.5 percent.

Generally, rural districts have relatively young population compared with urban districts. Consequently census results reveal that there were more children in rural areas than in urban areas. In 2001 the percentage of children in rural areas was 52 percent compared with 48 percent for urban areas.

Overall the child population is almost equal gender wise, although this is a net result of high number of male children below age 5 years and the excess females over males from ages 5 years and above.

The age composition of the children population shows that the 55 percent of the child population were aged below 10 years whereas the age group 15 to 17 years accounted for about 17 percent. By comparison, in 1991 the population aged below 10 years accounted for 58 percent of the children population.

Childhood mortality increased between 1991 and 2001. Infant mortality rose from 48 to 55 deaths per 1000 live birth, child mortality from 16 to 19 deaths per 1000 while, the under-five mortality from 66 to 73 deaths per 1000. Gender disparities exist in all the childhood mortality, high rates being observed among male children.

The most common forms of disabilities among children are defect of sight, hearing, speech and inability to speak. These defects presented high figures for both the 1991 and 2001 censuses. Although cases of mental retardation among children were very low in 1991, probably due to under-reporting, mental retardation was quite pronounced in 2001. Gender disparities are visible in respect of disability, with male children consistently exhibiting large numbers of some form of disability compared to female children.

## Children Education

Some form of early childhood learning is quite visible among children aged 3 and 4 years. Over 10 percent of children in these age groups were undergoing some form of childhood learning in 2001. Wide district variations exist, with lowest rates in rural districts and highest rates in the mining towns.

School attendance among children aged 10-14 years was 93 percent in 2001. However, against the background of the universal education drive, the figure is lower than expected. The proportion of children aged 10 to 14 years who have never attended school is quite insignificant, standing at $0.54 \%$.

## Children Living Arrangement

Most children are found in female-headed households compared to male-headed households. As a result female-headed households have large average household sizes than those headed by males.

Child-headed households account for over 2 percent of the total number of households in 2001, a drop from 3 percent in 1991. A very large majority of child-head households are in rural areas. Most of the children heads of households are male children.

Child access to communication mediums vary by type of mediums and residence. The percentage of children living in households with radios, television, personal computers and phones was $68.5,22.7,2.8$ and 29.8 percent, respectively for the gadgets. Figures reveal that access to these communication medium is very high in cities and towns and low in rural districts.

In terms of the cleanliness of the living environment, most children live in household where solid waste is not collected for disposal. Although over 51 percent of all children live in households which dispose off its solid waste in rubbish pits, some solid waste material could be a serious hazard to children especially at very young ages.

All urban children lived in households with an improved water source as far back as 1991. Rural children's access to improved water source rose from 75.5 percent in 1991 to 93.8 in 2001.

There has been an increase in the number of children with access to latrines (ordinary or ventilated improved) over the years. Although the percentage of children living in households without any means of excreta disposal has been declining the 2001 level of 30 percent is very high.

## 2. Orphan-hood Analysis

## Orphan District Distribution

Based on the 2001 Census, there were 111,828 orphan children, representing 15.2 percent of the children population $(737,241)$. Almost 54 percent of all orphans are found in rural areas. Towns generally have low incidence of orphans compared to rural districts.

## Orphan Analysis by Household Characteristics

Over 68 percent of the orphans are found in female-headed households. Generally the disproportionate gender disparity is very evident across all orphan types. Furthermore a high proportion of orphans live with grandparents, brothers/sister, nephew/niece or other relative. Almost 34 percent of the orphans are living with grandparents.

## Orphans Economic Activity Outlook

Close to 56 percent of orphans live with heads of households who are not economically active. Although by implication 44 percent of orphans live with households whose heads are economically active, the majority of these heads are employed in elementary occupations.

Almost 6 percent of the orphans aged 12 to 17 are currently economically active in 2001. There are more male orphans who are economically active compared with female orphans.

## Socio-demographic Variables

School attendance among the 10 to 14 year-olds is relatively high among non-orphans compared with orphans. Female children attendance rates, among either orphans or non-orphans are higher than those of males.

Although there is some differential in fertility levels of orphans and non-orphans, the figures however are not statistically significant.

## Orphans and Vulnerable children

Orphan and vulnerable children constituted 10.5 of the total population in 2001, made up of 111512 orphans and 33380 non-orphan but vulnerable children. Incidence of orphans and vulnerable children vary markedly across districts. Towns, cities as well
as districts within close proximity to Gaborone have relatively low rates when compared with the rest of rural districts.

## 3. General Population Analysis

## General Population Characteristics

The 2001 census yielded a national population count of $1,680,863$, up from 1,326,796 in 1991, reflecting an average annual increase of 2.4 percent. Considering the annul increase of 3.5 between 1981 and 1991, Botswana's population has been increasing at a decreasing rate over the years. The declining population growth was largely a result of the declining levels of fertility.

While census results show some change in the age composition, Botswana's population still remain largely youthful. The population aged 29 years and below accounted for almost 70 percent of Botswana's population. In regard to the gender dimension of the population, the sex ratio increased from 92 males per 100 females to 94 males per 100 females.

Fertility decreased during the period between the 1991 and 2001 censuses while mortality increased. The total fertility rate dropped from 4.2 children per woman in 1991 to 3.3 children per woman in 2001 . On the other hand, the crude death rate rose from 11.4 deaths per 1000 population to $13.5^{1}$ deaths per 1000 population in 2001.

Age patterns show very high female mortality over the ages 18 to 34 years while on the other hand male mortality is very high from the mid thirties and remain very high for the rest of the ages. This pattern is likely to result from the AIDS pandemic in a setting where there is prevalence of inter-generational sexual relationships. The increase in mortality has also resulted with a reduction in life expectancy at birth, from 65 years in 1991 to 56 years in 2001.

## Spatial Distribution

Over 54 percent of Botswana's population resides in urban areas, in comparison with 46 percent in 1991. This proportion is made up of population of towns and cities (comprising 22 percent) as well as that of villages classified as urban areas. There has been a phenomenal growth in the population of localities within the periphery of towns and cities.

## 4. Households and Housing Amenities
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## Households Characteristics

The number of households enumerated in the 2001 census was 404706 , reflecting an average annual increase of 2.89 percent over the 1991 figure of 276209. Average household size dropped by about one person per household between 1991 and 2001, from 4.7 persons per household to 4.1 persons per household. Towns and cities average household sizes dropped from 3.8 persons to 3.3 persons per household while the comparative figures for rural districts are 5.2 and 4.5 persons per household, respectively for the 1991 and 2001 census.

## Household Amenities

Households with no access to a sanitary facility dropped from 45 percent in 1991 to 23 percent in 2001. Access to pit latrines and flush toilets rose between the two censuses. Inter-district variations exist in respect of the availability of toilet facilities. Households without toilet facilities in Ghanzi, Ngamiland West and Kweneng West districts represent 88 percent, 76 percent and 55 percent of each district's population.

Over 95 percent of all households have access to improved water sources compared with 89 percent in 1991. On this basis, there has been some improvements have been achieved over the 10 year-period interspacing the two censuses.

A shift in fuel used for cooking and lighting is evident from a comparative analysis of census results. Although there has been an increase in households using electricity for lighting, most households still use paraffin for lighting. There has been in a shift in the use of fuel-wood in favour of LP Gas.

With regard to refuse collection, only 36.4 percent of all households in Botswana had their solid waste collected for disposal. The use of rubbish pits is largely the most common mode of refuse disposal, accounting for 41.2 percent. This rather high figure might have serious environmental implication given that the use of a rubbish pit may lead to pollution of under ground water.

## Summary Indicators

| INDICATOR | 1991 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. CHILDREN INDICATORS |  |  |
| Children Population (\% of total population)\} | 50.5 (670323) | 43.0 (737 241) |
| 0-4 | 14.6 | 11.2 |
| 5-9 | 14.8 | 12.4 |
| 10-14 | 13.8 | 12.5 |
| 15-17 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Child Population (\% Urban) | 39.3 | 48.0 |
| Child Population (\% Rural) | 60.7 | 52.0 |
| Average Number of |  |  |
| Persons per hhold (Households with Children) | 6.3 | 5.8 |
| Person per hhold (Households Without Children) | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| U5 Per household | 0.7 | 0.5 |
| Under-five Mortality |  |  |
| Under-five Mortality Rate | 63.0 | 74.0 |
| Childhood Mortality rate | 16.0 | 19.0 |
| Infant Mortality Rate | 48.0 | 56.0 |
| Child Education |  |  |
| Early Childhood Learning (age \%) |  |  |
| 3 Years | 0.0 | 7.5 |
| 4 years | 0.0 | 13.0 |
| Primary School Attendance Rate (\% of 6-14 years) | 62.8 | 77.4 |
| Attendance among 10-14 years | 87.5 | 92.9 |
| Child-head households (\%) | 3.0 (8379) | 2.1 (8660) |
| Children (\%) Living in Household with access |  |  |
| Improved Water Source | 85.1 | 93.8 |
| Sanitary Excreta Disposal Facility | 44.6 | 29.9 |
| Access to Solid Waste Collection | 0.0 | 23.9 |
| Children (\%) Living in Household with Functioning |  |  |
| Radio |  | 68.5 |
| Television |  | 22.7 |
| Phone |  | 2.8 |
| Personal Computer |  | 29.8 |
| 2. ORPHAN INDICATORS |  |  |
| Orphan (\%) Residing in |  |  |
| Urban Areas | - | 46.2 |
| Rural Areas | - | 53.8 |
| Paternal Orphans | - | 63.2 |
| 0-14 | - | 46.3 |
| 15-17 | - | 16.9 |
| Maternal Orphans | - | 23.8 |
| 0-14 | - | 17.7 |
| 15-17 |  | 6.1 |
| Double Orphans | - | 13.0 |
| 0-14 | - | 9.0 |
| 15-17 | - | 4.0 |


| Summary Indicators |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1991 |  |
| 3. POPULATION (overall) CHARACTERSISTCS |  |  |
| Life Expectancy at birth |  |  |
| Male | 63.0 | 52.0 |
| Female | 67.0 | 57.0 |
| All | 65.0 | 56.0 |
| Sex ratio at birth | 92.0 | 94.0 |
| Population Density | 2.3 | 2.9 |
| Dependency (Age - dependency ratio) |  |  |
| Urban | 45.7 | 54.2 |
| Rural | 54.3 | 45.8 |
| All | 93.0 | 71.4 |
| 4. HOUSEHOLDS (overall) CHARACTERISTICS Households Heads (\%) |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Male | 52.9 | 53.9 |
| Female | 47.1 | 46.1 |
| Average Household Size (Persons per household) |  |  |
| Male | 4.6 | 3.8 |
| Female | 5.1 | 4.4 |
| All | 4.7 | 4.1 |
| Households (\%) with Functioning |  |  |
| Radio | - | 67.6 |
| Television | - | 25.1 |
| Personal Computer |  | 3.9 |
| Phone | - | 33.5 |

## DEFINITIONS OF TERMS AND CONCEPTS

Child: Any person aged 17 years and below.
Infant: A child aged less than one year.
Child Focused Indicator: Indicators that are used to assess the situation of a child.

Infant Mortality Rate: The Infant mortality rate is the probability of a child dying before attaining the first birthday.

Child Mortality: The probability of dying between the ages 1-4 years.
Under Five Mortality Rate: The probability of dying before the fifth birthday
Age-Specific-Death Rate (ASDR): The age specific death rates (number of deaths divided by population in the age group).

Age Dependency Ratio: The ratio of the number of persons in the "dependent ages" ( $0-14$ years + those aged 65 and over) to those in the "economically productive ages" (15-64 years) in a population.

Disability: Incapacities as a result of physical or mental deficiencies that manifest through bodily abnormalities, defects and impairment.

Household: Since the census used the de-facto approach, the definition used is "A household consists of one or more persons, related or unrelated, living together "under the same roof" (in the same lolwapa), and/or making common provisions for food and other living arrangements".

Household Head: The head of household is any person, male or female, at least 12 years old, who is regarded by other members as their head. This person may or may not be a blood relative of other members of the household. In exceptional circumstances where, amongst those who spent the census night with the particular household there is no person aged 12 and over, the eldest child was regarded as head.

Life Expectancy: An estimate of the average number of additional years a person could expect to live if the age specific death rates for a given year prevail for the rest of his or her life. Where the term is used without being qualified with respect to age, it refers to life expectancy at birth.

Orphan: The analysis adopted the definition by the Botswana Short Term Plan of Action on Orphans according to which an orphan is:
"Child below 18 years who has lost one (single parents) or two (married couples) biological or adoptive parents".

The operational definition used (paternal, maternal, and double) as described below fall short of the national definition.

Paternal Orphan: A Paternal orphan is a child below 18 years whose biological father has died but the biological mother is alive.

Maternal Orphan: A Maternal orphan is a child below 18 years whose biological mother has died but the biological father is alive.

Double Orphan: A double orphan is a child below 18 years who has lost both biological parents

Vulnerable Child: For the purpose of this analysis a "vulnerable child" is a person aged 17 years and below living in a household where there has been a recent death.

Orphans and Vulnerable Children (OVC): In the analysis "OVC" refers to a child who is either an orphan (paternal, maternal or double) or a vulnerable child as per the definition above.

Sex Ratio: The ratio of number of males per 100 females in a given population.
Urban Areas: All settlements on state land and settlements on tribal land with a population size of 5000 persons or more, with at least 75 percent of the labour force of the settlement engaged in non-subsistence agricultural activities. Any settlement that does not meet the above classification is otherwise considered rural.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background

This report was borne out of the fact that officially published census reports do not always provide all the information required by all users of statistics. In view of that, UNICEF took the initiative, following the 1991 census, to produce more detailed and focused reports. Three reports were produced based on the 1991 census; these were a national report containing district averages, district and sub-district reports containing disaggregated information by villages; and an atlas depicting inter-district disparities. These reports have proved invaluable in guiding development planning.

The 2001 census provided yet another opportunity for UNICEF to contribute to the census analyses by producing a report on child focused-indicators. This report is therefore a sequel to the three reports alluded to above. In terms of the scope of coverage, this report provides a child-focused perspective based on the 2001 census results.

The result of any analysis is not usually just an end to a process. In this regard, suffice it to note that, as a signatory to international conventions, the need to gauge Botswana's commitment to such is an imperative. A case in point in this respect is the World Summit for Children where Botswana committed herself to the Declaration and Plan of Action for Children. It is in pursuit of such commitment that periodic assessment of relevant indicators is necessary. Apart from the foregoing, the Botswana national vision (Vision 2016) is very emphatic about building a "Compassionate, Just and Caring Nation", and that inherently has a bearing on the current situation of children. In this connection child based indicators are critical for assessing the situation of children. In guaranteeing the children's rights, Government has put in place appropriate policies and supporting programmes. While periodic monitoring is necessary, a major impediment is data availability. Censuses therefore provide an important and rare opportunity for the assessment of the level of child-based indicators.

Indicators in this report and the ensuing analysis are based on the 2001 Population and Housing Census. Results from other sources, especially the 1991 census results are presented for comparison and to provide a measure of trends. For ease of comparison of the indicators, data from the two censuses have been subjected to a similar classification and analysis. This ensures that the observed changes are real rather than a result of variations in the methods of analysis. Among the indicators presented in this report are life expectancy, child population, average household size, children's living arrangements, orphanhood, child disability and household ownership of some communication mediums.

### 1.2 Objectives

Although the above background implicitly gives some insight into the analysis, the objectives of the analysis are summarised below.
$>$ To assess the situation of children in Botswana
$>$ To update the indicators developed after the 1991 census
$>$ To provide time series data on the situation of children over the period 1991 to 2001

### 1.3 Overview of Report

The results of this analysis give a critical insight into the situation of children in Botswana. However, census data lack subject depth and therefore limits the extent to which children socio-demographics could be explored. Furthermore, there are conceptual limitations inherent in the methodology followed in the data collection. A more detailed account on this aspect is given on the last chapter.

The analysis presented in this report is presented in five chapters. Following this introductory chapter is the children's socio-demographic analysis (Chapter 2). This is followed by Chapter 2 which provides an analysis of orphans. Chapters 3 and 4 provide an overview of the characteristics of general population and households. Lastly, Chapter 5 concludes the report with some precautionary notes on the limitations or gaps in the data.

## 2 CHILDREN'S SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS

### 2.1 Child Characteristics

## a) Child Population

The percentage share of the child population to the total population has been declining over the years. In 1991 the population aged 0 to 17 years comprised 50.5 percent of the entire population, but by the year 2001 the share of the child population had dropped to 43.9 percent (Table 1). The distribution of the children population by type of residence reveals some slight differences between urban and rural areas, with 48 and 52 percent respectively. While overall the sex ratio of the children population is almost equal, this is the net result of high number of male infants and the excess of females over males from ages 5 years and above. A visual display of the age compostion of children is shown on Figure 1 below.


Children below 10 years of age accounted for 55 percent of the child population in 2001, a drop from 58 percent in 1991 (Table 1). This is due largely to the fertility decline between the two censuses.

## b) Age Dependency

Households with children are carrying the heaviest burden in terms of age dependency ratios (Table 16). Among households with children, the age dependency ratio is close to 100 , compared with 9 dependents for households without children. Considering the households with children, the weight of the economic burden is tilted towards households with orphan children, when compared with households without orphans. The age dependency for households with orphans is 105 per 100 persons in the working population, compared with 92 for households without orphan.
c) Under 5 Population

Attention is usually focused on the children aged below 5 years in view of child-based policy interventions. It is important therefore to establish not only the numbers but also to know their locations. Three districts account for 58.5 percent of the under 5 population - Central, Kweneng and Southern (Table 9). In towns and cities the percentage share of the under 5 children to the district population is generally below 10 percent whereas the corresponding figure in rural districts hovers around 12 percent of the district population.

## d) Childhood Mortality Indicators

Childhood mortality indicators derived from the 2001 Population Census show a reversal in the trends observed in previous censuses. Childhood mortality rates were derived using an indirect ${ }^{2}$ estimation approach (UN-Qfive), based on the number of women aged $15-49$ years, the number of children ever born and the number of such children who have died.

Figure 2: Childhood Mortality Rates (1991 \& 2001)


Infant mortality based on the Coale and Demeny West Model was estimated at a level of 55 deaths per 1000 live births, compared with 48 deaths per 1000 in 1991. Child mortality rates stood at 19 deaths per 1000 births, up from 16 in 1991. The under five mortality stood at 73 deaths per 1000, a rise from the 1991 level of 66 deaths per 1000 live births. Gender disparities exist in all the childhood mortality, with high rates observed among male children.

Available data show that infant mortality rates vary quite markedly across districts, with a largest range of 54 deaths per 1000 live births. The lowest rate of 33 deaths per 1000 live birth was recorded in South East while the highest rate of 87 deaths per 1000 live births was observed in Ngamiland. Child mortality indicators are high in rural

[^1]areas than in urban areas. With respect to infant mortality, the rural rate is 57 compared with 54 in urban areas.


## e) Childhood Age-Specific Death Rates

There are 14 deaths per 1000 population children aged under 5 children years. Agespecific death rates for single ages of under 5 children reveals a real upsurge in the number of infant deaths. Figure 4 shows that for each age, the ASDR are higher in 2001 than in 1991. In 2001 infant deaths were at four times the 1991 level.


## f) Childhood Disability

The interest in children with disability in Botswana is not only seen as humane, it also falls within the purview of the third pillar of the Botswana national Vision 2016 (Botswana Government,1997). According to this pillar, Botswana hopes to achieve "a compassionate, just and caring nation," which provides a social safety net for disadvantaged groups and accessibility to health treatment for all by the year 2016.

According to both the 1991 and the 2001 census, the most prevalent disabilities among children are defect of sight, hearing, speech and inability to speak (Table 5). In 2001 there were 2147 children with hearing defect, 1579 with sight effect, 1213 with speech
defect and 1043 unable to speak. Beyond the similarities in the incidence of disability between the two censuses, there has been a very high number of cases of mental retardation in 2001, compared with 19 in 1991. It is highly likely that the 1991 figure was low due to underestimation.

Most children with disabilities are found in rural areas. Male children consistently exhibit large numbers with some form of disability compared to female children.

### 2.2 Children's Education

## a) Early Childhood Learning

Over 10 percent of the children aged 3 and 4 years were undergoing some form of childhood leaning, as per the 2001 census (Table 6. Huge variations are evident across the district, with a low of 5 percent in the North West District and a high of 43 percent in Jwaneng. Mining towns have some of the highest percentage of children aged 3 to 4 years attending school. No comparative analysis is possible since the minimum age for the education question in 1991census was 5 years.
b) Attendance by Primary School-Going Age

The official minimum age at which a child is eligible for admittance to government primary school is 6 years ${ }^{3}$. Allowing for 7 years primary education, the analysis has focused on children aged 6 to 13 years. Naturally the percentage of children attending school rises with age. While from the age of 8 years the percentage of children attending school is over 90 percent, the level only rises to 93 percent by ages 12 and 13 years (Table 7).

Attendance among female children is high (at 88 percent) compared with a level of 75.9 percent among males (Table 7). These levels yield an overall 77.4 percent of the children of primary school going age who were in school in 2001. By comparison the attendance levels in 2001 have risen over those observed in 1991, reflecting either access to education or the realisation of the importance of education.
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c) Attendance among 10-14 years olds

With automatic progression from primary to secondary school, the children population aged between 10 and 14 are expected to be attending school. This age range covers those children attending primary and secondary.

The proportion of children aged 10 to 14 years attending school at the time of the 2001 was 93 percent (Table 8). In view of the universal education policy the 7 percent of children aged 10 to 14 years who were not in school is a matter to be addressed. The 2001 attendance rate compares with 87.5 percent in 1991, a rise by x percentage points over the ten period marked by the two censuses.

### 2.3 Children Living Arrangement

## a) Preamble

Focus in this chapter is largely on household characteristics and housing amenities, to provide a context within which children live. Since the institutional population falls outside the domain of household and housing amenities, the term population in this section carries a restrictive connotation since it actually refers to what is often considered non-institutional population.
b) Household Situation

Almost 59 percent of the total 404706 households have at least one child living in the household (Table 4). These households contain 83 percent of the total population. This disparity is clearly manifested in household size of 5.8 and 1.7 persons per household, respectively, for households with children and those without. While the average household size of households with children has declined, from 6.7 persons per household in 1991 that of households without children remained unchanged.

## c) Child-headed Households

Child-headed households account for over 2 percent (8660) of the total number of households in 2001, a drop from 3 percent (8379) in 1991 (Table 31). A very large majority of such children are in rural areas. Close to 57 percent of the child-headed households are headed by male children compared with 43 that are headed by female children.

## d) Household With or Without Orphans

A total of 237,727 (or 58.7 percent) of all households in Botswana had at least one child (Table 4). Close to 53 percent of such households were in urban in areas. A breakdown of the households with children by presence or absence of orphans yields 26.6 percent having orphan children and 74.4 percent with non-orphan children.

Highest percentages of households with orphans are found in the North East and North West district, each accounting for 33 percent of all households in the district (Table 4). On the other hand, lowest percentages are in Gaborone, Jwaneng and Orapa, each with 18 percent of the number of household in the district.


There are indications that orphans live with relatively elderly persons when compared with non-orphans children. This is shown in the mean ages of 48 years and 45 years, respectively for household heads with orphan children and those with non-orphan children. Further analysis of the situation (Chapter 3) reveals a disproportionately high number of orphans live with their grandparent and thus resulting with heads with a high mean age.

## e) Gender Dimensions

Gender disparities manifest in various forms in respect of the children living arrangement (Table 10). Whereas male-headed households account for 54 percent of all households, these households have only 45 percent of the children population ( 735 597). With 55 percent of the non-institutional children population found in female-
headed households, it is very clear that women carry more burden than males, with respect to caring for children.

## f) Children by Type of Housing

Almost 86 percent of the children live in traditional, mixed or detached type of housing unit. Notwithstanding that, it is not possible to appraise the quality of the structures in which children live. According to the 2001 census results, over 7 percent of all children lived in a single room. To the extent that many of these children do not own the housing units, naturally these children are sharing a room with an adult and this has social implications on the child's development. There is therefore need for an in-depth analysis to shed more light on the situation of these children.

## g) Children by Communication Mediums

Radios, televisions, personal computers and phones are very important communication and learning medium. Children's access to such mediums has a bearing on their sociopsycho development. The percentage of children living in households with such gadgets in 2001 is 68.5 percent with radio, 22.7 percent with television, 2.8 percent with personal computers and 29.8 percent with phones (Table 11).

Census figures reveal that endowment of communication mediums is high in cities and towns and low in rural districts. Ghanzi and Kgalagadi districts have the lowest percentage of children with access to all the four items.

## h) Children by Mode of Solid Waste Disposal

Cleanliness of the living environment impacts on the health and safety of people living in that environment. This is even more important when considering the environment in which children live. The percentage of children by method of waste disposal is shown in the graph below (Table 12 and Figure 7). To the extent that rubbish pit does not present a safe waste disposal method, the majority of children could be considered to live in an environment that is unsafe or where health is somewhat compromised. Some solid waste material could be a serious hazard to the children especially at very young ages.


## i) Children by Lighting Fuel Used

Although there has been an increase in the percentage of children living in household using electricity for lighting, paraffin is still largely the main fuel type used by households in which most children live (Table 13 and Figure 8). Use of candles remained the same over the ten-year decade marked by the two censuses, in spite of

their rather unsafe
nature. The extent to which some of the sources could be considered a hazard to the children is easy to visualize.

## j) Children by Cooking Fuel Used

Usage of fuel is dependent on the availability and affordability of cooking fuel. The majority of children live in households using fuel-wood for cooking. The trend during the period interspacing the two censuses has seen a decline in the usage of firewood and paraffin, and an upsurge in usage of LP Gas (Table 14 and Figure 9).

Electricity usage by households is still very low hence a very insignificant proportion of children are living in households using electricity for cooking. In view of the move towards improved fuel quality, it could be concluded that children living arrangements have been improving, to some extent, over the years.


## k) Children by Water Source

Safe drinking water is a basic necessity for good health. Unsafe drinking water can be a significant carrier of diseases such as trachoma, cholera, typhoid, and schistosomiasis. Drinking water can also be tainted with chemical, physical and radiological contaminants with harmful effects on human health. In addition to its association with disease, access to drinking water may be particularly important for women and children, particularly in rural Areas, who bear the primary responsibility for carrying water, often for long distances.

For the purposes of this report and for ease of comparison, improved water source refers to the combined total of piped water (in doors or stand pipe), borehole and well. As per this classification, all urban children lived in households with improved water source in 1991 compared with 75.5 percent in rural areas (Table 15). These yield an overall level of 85.1 percent of the children. In 2001 the figure rose to 93.8 percent, which shows some improvement in children living conditions. A comparison of the two censuses is displayed on Figure 10.


## l) Children by Sanitary Facilities

Inadequate disposal of human excreta and personal hygiene is associated with a range of diseases including diarrhoeal diseases and polio. Sanitary means of excreta disposal include: flush toilets connected to sewage systems or septic tanks, other flush toilets, improved pit latrines, and traditional pit latrines

The majority of children live in households without any means of excreta disposal. While the number children living in households without any form of excreta disposal declined between 1991 and 2001, from 55 to 30 percent, the current levels are still very high (Table 17).

Rural children living in households without any sanitary facility are in excess of 30 percent. There has been an increase in the number of children with access to latrines (ordinary or ventilated improved) over the years. However, notwithstanding the increase noted in the foregoing, pit latrines are not environmentally friendly hence children's exposure to opportunistic diseases linked to such facilities is quite high. Figure 11 presents a graphical display of children living arrangement, in respect of the percentage of children living in households using a particular mode of sanitary facility.


## 3 ORPHAN-HOOD ANALYSIS

### 3.1 Introduction

Children who are orphaned may be at increased risk of impoverishment, discrimination, denial of property rights and rights to inheritance, various forms of abuse, neglect, and exploitation of their labor or sexuality. Monitoring the level of orphanhood and the living arrangements of children assists in identifying those who may be at risk and in tracking changes over time. Orphanhood is frequently accompanied by prejudice and increased poverty- factors that can further jeopardize children's chances of completing school education and lead to adoption of strategies that increase their vulnerability to HIV. It is therefore important to monitor the extent to which AIDS support programmes succeed in securing the educational opportunities of orphaned children.

### 3.2 Distribution of Orphans by District

Based on the operational definition of an orphan, the 2001 census yielded 111, 828 orphans, representing 15.2 percent of the children population, which totals 737, 241 Table 18. Almost 54 percent of all orphans are found in rural areas. Seventy-three (73) percent of the total alluded to above were aged below 15 years.

Before proceeding with the analysis, it is important to note that 316 of the 111,828 orphans were enumerated in institutions (prisons, schools, hospital, etc) and therefore a cross-analysis of orphans by household characteristics reduces the number of orphans to $111,512$.

| Summary 1: comparison of orphan type and sex |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Type | Male | Female | Total |
| Paternal | 35,015 | 35,696 | 70,711 |
| Maternal | 13,301 | 13,205 | 26,586 |
| Double | 7,273 | 7,258 | 14,531 |
| Total | 55,589 | 56,239 | 111,828 |

In considering the spatial dimension, a useful indicator is the number of orphans as a percentage of the district population. The highest incidence of orphans is found in the North East, Central Tutume and Ngamiland West with close to 10 percent of their population being orphans. Lowest incidents of orphans are in the diamond mining towns and the capital city. The disparities in orphan incidents rate between towns/cities and rural districts are quite evident, with rural areas generally displaying higher rates.

| Summary 2: Comparison of orphan type by Age Group (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Type | $\mathbf{0 - 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 - 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 - 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 7}$ | Total |
| Paternal | 12.7 | 25.3 | 35.3 | 26.8 | 100 |
| Maternal | 9.2 | 25.7 | 39.5 | 25.6 | 100 |
| Double | 6.5 | 22.0 | 40.3 | 31.0 | 100 |
| Total | 11.0 | 25.0 | 36.0 | 27.0 | 100 |

In terms of the age composition, 36 percent of orphaned children fall below 10 years of age (Table 18). An interesting feature of the age distribution is (without exception) that the number of orphans increases with successive rise in single ages.

### 3.3 Orphans by Household Characteristics

## a) Orphans by Household Headship

An important issue to consider in analysing data on orphan-hood is the characteristics of households in which orphans live. Over 68 percent of the orphan children are found in female-headed households (Table 19). Given that female resource endowment is less favourable vis-à-vis that of males, the vivid reality of the situation of orphans could be visualised.

| Summary 3: Orphan type by sex of household head (\%) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Type | Male | Female | Total |
| Paternal | 24.9 | 75.1 | 100 |
| Maternal | 46.2 | 53.8 | 100 |
| Double | 38.2 | 61.8 | 100 |
| Total | 31.7 | 68.3 | 100 |

The disproportionate gender disparity is very evident across all orphan types. Census results also reveal that even where the mother dies while the father was alive, most children affected tend to be under the care of a female head. This again has serious implications in terms of socio-economic burden.

## b) Relationship of Orphan to Household Head

Another important variable to explore in analysing the situation of orphans is the relationship, (i.e. with who do orphan live?). Close to 64 percent of orphans live with grandparents, brothers/sister, nephew/niece or other relative. Considering maternal and double orphans, the percentage share living with these relatives is 76.2 and 84.9 percent respectively (Table 19). Almost 34 percent of the orphans are living with grandparents. It would therefore be very important to establish the employment status of caretakers such as grandparents. In the face of the HIV/AIDS scourge these results seem to confirm the sordid reality of the ensuing repercussions- children loosing their parents due to AIDS are under the care and support of these grandparents. Depending
on the age of the grandparent, the quality of childcare is likely to compromise the child's social development.

| Summary 4: comparison of orphan type by Relationship to Heads (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Type | Grand Child | Brother/Sister <br> Nephew/Niece | Other <br> Relative | Other | Total |
| Paternal | 29.5 | 15.9 | 9.4 | 45.2 | 100 |
| Maternal | 41.0 | 21.1 | 14.0 | 23.9 | 100 |
| Double | 41.0 | 27.9 | 16.0 | 15.1 | 100 |
| Total | 33.7 | 18.7 | 11.3 | 36.3 | 100 |

Incidentally, 40 percent of paternal orphans live with their mothers while by comparison only 17 percent of maternal orphans live with their fathers. This therefore seems to suggest that a child losing a mother would be more disadvantaged than having lost a father, other things being equal.
c) Orphans and Marital Status of Household Head

Most orphans live with household heads that are never married, living together or widowed. The three categories collectively account for 71 percent of the 111, 512 orphans.

| Summary 5: comparison of orphan type by marital status of head (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Type | Married | Living Together <br> +Never Married | Widowed | Other | Total |
| Paternal | 23.1 | 46.3 | 27.9 | 2.7 | 100 |
| Maternal | 32.4 | 44.5 | 20.1 | 3.0 | 100 |
| Double | 30.6 | 48.5 | 17.5 | 3.4 | 100 |
| Total | 26.3 | 46.1 | 24.7 | 2.9 | 100 |

## d) Orphans by Household Size

Close to 80 percent of the 111,512 non-institutional orphans live in households of size 5 or more. To the extent that the national average household size is 4.2 persons per households, the possible prevailing living conditions to which the orphans are exposed or subjected are easy to visualise. Worse still, 24 percent of orphans live in households of size 10 or more persons. Double and maternal orphans are relatively worse off, with respect to the size of households in which they live.

### 3.4 Economic Activity Status

a) Orphans by Household Head's Usual Economic Activity

Over 55 percent of the orphans are found in households whose heads are not usually employed (mostly homemakers). Although usual economic activity give a more realistic picture in view of the length of the reference period ${ }^{4}$, the associated occupations for the 45 percent may not be established from the 2001 census.

| Summary6: Orphan type by Head's economic activity status (\%) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type | Active | Inactive | Total |
| Paternal | 44.0 | 56.0 | 100 |
| Maternal | 45.4 | 54.6 | 100 |
| Double | 42.6 | 57.4 | 100 |
| Total | 44.2 | 55.8 | 100 |

Notwithstanding the foregoing, data on current ${ }^{5}$ economic activity reveal that these heads are likely to be engaged in low-level or low paying occupations (elementary occupations, craft and related workers, service and market workers). There is therefore less burden on high paying occupations - legislators, professionals etc, with respect to orphans care. Further analysis also reveals that as the household size increases so does the number of orphans. This again has serious implications in terms of socioeconomic burden.

## b) Orphans by Household Head Current Economic Activity Status

The 2001 census results show that about 56 percent of orphans live with heads of households who are not currently economically active. The remaining 44 percent of the orphan children live with households whose heads are economically active. This is made up of 39 percent living with currently employed and 5 percent whose heads are actively seeking work.

As a follow up, the summary table below gives the employment status of the household heads living with the 39 percent orphan children alluded to above. Of the number in reference (43728), over 63 percent live with household heads that are employed in elementary occupations.

[^3]| Summary 7: Orphan by occupation group of household heads (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type | Service | Craft | Elementary | Other | Total |
| Paternal | 15.5 | 14.7 | 34.8 | 35.0 | 100 |
| Maternal | 12.5 | 17.4 | 29.9 | 20.2 | 100 |
| Double | 13.8 | 17.3 | 29.6 | 39.3 | 100 |
| Total | 14.5 | 15.7 | 33.0 | 36.9 | 100 |

## c) Orphans Economic Activity Status

Almost 6 percent or 3472 of the orphans aged 12 to 17 were currently economically active in 1991. The picture barely changes irrespective of usual or current economic activity. However, there is a marked gender differential in that 8 percent of male orphans are economically active compared with 4 percent among female orphans. The disproportionate participation in household activities, which fall outside the domain what is considered economic activities, coupled with higher education uptake by females, may explain the gender disparity.

Census results reveal that the situation of joblessness among the child population (agedn12- 17 years) is worse for female orphans than their male counterparts. Unemployment rate among female orphans stood at 52 percent in 2001 compared with 34 percent among male orphans. This leads to an overall unemployment rate of 41 percent for orphans.

### 3.5 Analysis of Orphans by Socio-Demographic Variables

## a) Education

Children aged 10 to 14 years are normally expected to be in school. As a special group, orphans are usually at a disadvantage compared with other children, in respect of their needs. It is important therefore to make a comparison between of education attainment of orphans and non-orphans.


School attendance among the 10 to 14 year-olds (Table 8) is slightly higher among non-orphans (at 92.8 percent) compared with orphans (at 91.9 percent). Female children attendance rates, among either orphans (at 93.8 percent) or non-orphans ( 94.3 percent) are higher than those of males, at 90.0 and 91.3 percent, respectively for male orphans and non-orphans. For all the orphans, school attendance is generally high. There is not much difference in school attendance amongst the different categories of orphans.

Generally, towns and district exhibit relatively higher school attendance percentages than rural districts. A district-wise comparison of school attendance shows that Ghanzi has the lowest levels, among either orphans or non-orphans. Bringing the gender dimension into the picture also reveals that female children have relatively high school attendance rates than their males counterparts, irrespective of residence or whether a child is an orphan or not.

## b) Orphans by Fertility

There exists some differential in fertility levels of orphans and non-orphans. While there are about 3 births per 1000 non-orphans aged 12 to 17 years, the corresponding age-specific fertility rate (ASFR) for orphans is about 4 births per 1000. Apart from the overall rate, child bearing is higher among orphans at each age compared to their counterparts. However, the difference in the rates is not statistically significant.

Evidence suggests that children with surviving mothers have lower fertility than those with only their fathers surviving. The situation with regard to orphan fertility gets even worse when such children have lost both parents. Orphans are vulnerable to many socio-economic hardships and this therefore predisposes them as prey for sexual predators that lure them with gifts and other luxuries. Common knowledge suggests that some of these sexual predators are in fact guardians, or worse still, step fathers of these orphans.

### 3.6 Orphan and Vulnerable Children

For operational convenience, vulnerability has been considered in the context of the occurrence of a death in the household. The depth in the content of the census questions on the variables that are normally used to characterize vulnerability, limits the extent of the analysis.

Orphans and vulnerable children constitute 10.5 of the total population in 2001, made up of 8.1 percent ( 111512 ) orphans and 2.4 percent ( 33380 ) non-orphan children. Incidence of orphans and vulnerable children vary widely across districts. Low percentages are found in the diamond-mining towns of Orapa and Jwaneng, each with 5.3 of their population constituting orphans and vulnerable children. On the extreme end, the North East district has the highest cases of orphans and vulnerable children, with close to 14.6 of its population being orphans and vulnerable children. Towns, cities as well as districts within close proximity to Gaborone have district rates that fall below 10 percent whereas the rest of rural districts have OVC cases in excess of 10 percent.

## 4 GENERAL POPULATION ANALYSIS

## a) Preamble

Attention in this report is mainly on child-focused indicators rather than the general population. This chapter and the subsequent one provide a complementary analysis and most importantly give proper context within which the situation of orphans in Botswana should be viewed and interpreted. In view of that, the ensuing analysis does not employ the requisite demographic zest that is normally used for the various topics that follow but rather provides just an overview.

### 4.1 Population Characteristics

a) Growth

Population change is attributed to three principal factors, fertility (births), mortality (deaths) and migration. The direction and indeed the magnitude of each of these determinants, in turn, depend on interplay of a myriad of other factors.

The 2001 Population and Housing Census yielded a de-facto count of 1,680, 863 (Table 27). This figures compares with a population total of 1,326, 796 in 1991, giving an average growth rate of 2.4 percent per annum between the two censuses. Of the 2001 population total, 23427 persons were enumerated in institution and are generally referred to as the "institutional population".

Over the years Botswana's population has been increasing at diminishing rates. A comparison of the inter-census annual growth reveals that the rate dropped from 3.5 percent in 1991 to 2.4 percent in 2001. With the AIDS pandemic, there is a high likelihood that the trends observed might even drop further in future.

## b) Age Composition

Botswana's population still remain relatively youthful. Close to 70 percent of the population is aged 29 years or below (Table 26 and Figure 12). Close to 40 percent of the population comprise persons aged below 15 years. Overall, the children population (17 year or below) contribute 43.9 percent to the total 2001 population, down from 50.5 percent in 1991. Although the situation has been improving over the years, in terms of reduction in percentage share of the children, current levels are still relatively high. These levels have serious implications with respect to economic burden since a high proportion of these children are largely dependents.

Incidentally 51.4 percent of the population of the North East district comprise children (population aged 17 years and below). Other high district percentages are found in the Central district (with 49.1 percent), Southern district (with 48.5 percent) and the North West, with about 46 percent (Table \& Table 27)

The reduction in proportion of children between the 1991 and 2001 censuses has resulted with the mean population age rising by about two years - from about 23 to 25 years. Moreover, to the extent that the elderly population (aged 65 years and over) has remained stagnant at 5 percent for the two censuses, the aged group 15 to 64 years has experienced a high increase.


A change in the age characteristics is also revealed when examining the population pyramids for the two censuses. There has been some narrowing of the base of the 2001 population pyramid, indicating a decline in the number of young children (0-4 and 5-9 years), over the previous census figures. This is due largely to declining fertility levels that occurred between the two censuses. For instance, the total number of children born to a woman during her reproductive ages dropped from 4.2 children in 1991 to 3.3 children in 2001.

A useful indicator (crude though) of the economic burden implicit in and also based on the age composition is the age dependency ratio. The age dependency ratio improved between the two censuses, from 92 percent in 1991 to 71 percent in 2001. Essentially this means that in 2001 there were 71 "dependents" per 100 persons in the working ages.

## c) Sex Composition

The sex ratio increased from 92 males per 100 females in 1991 to 94 males per 100 females in 2001 (Table 27). Notwithstanding that increase, the sex ratio in all the towns and cities has invariably declined between the two censuses. However, the mining towns still exhibit the highest district ratios. This overall pattern observed could be a result of migratory trends among females from rural to towns.

Considering the urban/rural scenarios, the urban areas sex ratio remained constant at 89 males per 100 females whereas by contrast the rural ratio rose from 94 in 1991 to 100 males per 100 females in 2001.

## d) Fertility Trends

All the fertility indicators point to a decline in fertility rate between 1991 and 2001. Also noteworthy is the decline observed between 1981 and 1991.

The average number of children ever born to a woman (Total Fertility Rate) during her reproductive ages ${ }^{6}$ declined, from 4.2 children per woman in 1991 to 3.3 children per woman in 2001. As regards crude birth rate, the trend is similar.

e) Mortality Trends

The effect of the HIV/AIDS pandemic seems to have reversed the health gains realised over the years, resulting in increased mortality between 1991 and 2001. In contrast to the fertility trend, crude death rate (CDR) increased between 1991 and 2001, from 11.5 to 12.4 deaths per 1000 population. In absolute terms the number of deaths in 2001 was $22716^{7}$.

Age-specific-deaths rates (ASDR) show some very interesting observations (Table 28 and Figure 14). The ASDRs for ages 20 to 44 have doubled during the ten-year interval marked by the two censuses while among elderly persons the rate declined. Another important feature of the age distribution of deaths is best revealed by a graphical display of ASDRs on gender basis. Female ASDRs are high compared to that of males between the ages 20 to 34 years. Beyond ages 34 years a reversal pattern

[^4]emerges, in respect of males and females mortality. The mortality pattern observed above is the resulting impact of the HIV/AIDS epidemic.

Figure 15: Age Specific Death Rates (1991-2001)


Consistent with the trend noted above, children mortality indicators similarly rose between the two censuses. Under 5-mortality rate rose from 66 deaths per 1000 births in 1991 to 73 deaths per 1000 live births in 2001

A useful summary measure closely linked to mortality rate is the expectation of life at birth. The general trend shows that life expectancy has declined. As expected, life expectancy has followed the trends exhibited by both infant and child mortality rates. The life expectancy at birth had improved from 57 years in 1981 to 65 years in 1991. However it declined to 56 years in 2001, a drop of about 9 years between the last two censuses.


Not only has the 2001 life expectancy at birth dropped over the 1991 level, the rate is at par with the level observed 30 years earlier, in 1971.

### 4.2 Settlement Patterns/Spatial distribution

a) Distribution by District

Population distribution by district is similar for the two censuses, in percentage terms (Table 27). The population resident in the Central District accounted for the largest district share in both censuses, with a share of around 30 percent. Also important is the fact that there are proportionately more children in rural districts than in urban ones. At the national level, 52 percent of the child population was in rural areas in 2001, down from 61 percent in 1991.

## b) Densities

The increase in population between the last two censuses resulted in a marked change in settlement patterns. Notably among the changes was the increase in population density by one person per square kilometre, from 2 persons in 1991 to 3 persons per square kilometre in 2001. Population densities in the two cities rose to over 1000 people per square kilometre. The sparsely populated districts have no discernable change in population densities between the two censuses.

## c) Urbanisation

Over 54 percent of Botswana's population reside in urban areas in comparison with 46 percent in 1991. This proportion is made up of population of towns and cities (at 22 percent) as well as that of 27 large villages classified as urban. With regard to villages, there has been an addition of $8^{8}$ villages to the list of $19^{9}$ classified as urban villages following the 1991 census.

## d) Town and Cities Peripheral Areas

Some phenomenal growth was witnessed in the villages within the periphery of towns and cities. Around Gaborone some villages recorded annual growth rates of close to $11^{10}$ percent while around Francistown and Lobatse a number of villages have annual growth rates of over 9 percent. With regard to Gaborone peripheral villages, Mogoditshane, Morope, Mmopane, Metsimotlhaba and Gaphatshwa doubled their

[^5]population between 1991 and 2001. In the Francistown area, Borolong village doubled its population while Tati Siding almost doubled its population in size between 1991 and 2001. As regards the Lobatse satellite areas, Molapowabojang's population more than doubled between 1991 and 2001.

There appears to be some push factors in towns and cities, which must be identified for effective planning. Shortage of housing in towns is probably one of the push factors.

## 5 HOUSEHOLDS AND HOUSING AMENITIES

### 5.1 Household Characteristics

a) Growth

A total of 404,706 households were enumerated in the 2001 census, reflecting a 3.9 percent annual growth on the 1991 figure of 276, 209 (Table 30). By comparing the population growth rate with the rate just alluded to, it appears there has been accelerated household formation in the years interspacing the two censuses.
b) Household Size

Average household size dropped by one person per household between 1991 and 2001, from 4.7 persons per household to 4.1 persons per household (Table 30). Towns and cities average household sizes dropped from 3.8 persons to 3.3 persons per household while the comparative figures for rural districts are 5.2 and 4.5 persons per household, respectively for the 1991 and 2001 census. Looking at the urban population divide, the average household size for urban was 3.9 in 2001 compared with 4.2 persons per households in 1991. Comparative figures for rural are 4.5 and 5.3 persons per household, respectively for 2001 and 1991.

Wide differences exist between average household size for households with children and those without. In 2001 the average household size for households with children was 5.8 persons per households compared with 1.7 persons per household in households without children. comparative figure for 1991 are 6.2 and 1.7 persons per household, respectively for household with and those without children.

## c) Gender Disparity in Household Characteristics

Gender disparities exist between household sizes (Table 30). Whereas male-headed households' accounted for 53 percent of all households in 2001, by contrast the average household size is disproportionately larger for female-headed households. Average household size for female-headed households was 4.4 persons per household in 2001 compared with 3.8 persons per household among male-headed households. Similar disparities are observed from the 1991 census.

Gender disparity is also visible in the distribution of orphan children by heads of households. Sixty-nine (69) percent of orphan children live in female headed households. Once again the burden is heavily tilted towards females.

### 5.2 Housing and Amenities

## a) Preamble

A more detailed situational analysis of children's living arrangement as well as their profile is given in the chapters 2 and 3. This section is aimed at providing supplementary details for contextual completeness. This overview focuses on a few indicators at national level, from a household viewpoint.

## b) Number of Persons per Room

The number of persons per living room is a useful indicator of overcrowding. Comparing the figures for the last two censuses, it seems there has not been much improvement in this aspect. In 1991 the average number of persons per living room was 1.9 persons while in 2001 the figure was 1.8 persons per room, reflecting a very insignificant change. In terms of the persons per room, the living condition of children has not improved over the period 1991 to 2001.

## c) Improved Water Supply

The 2001 Population and Housing Census indicate that 95 percent of all households have access to improved ${ }^{11}$ water sources compared with 89 percent in 1991 (Table 25). On this basis, some improvements have been achieved over the 10 year-period interspacing the two censuses. The increase in access to improved water sources augurs well for the conditions in which children live.

## d) Sanitary Facilities

Census results point to some improvements in access to sanitary facilities. Households with no access to a toilet facility dropped from 45 percent in 1991 to 23 percent in 2001 (Table 23) Access to pit latrines has risen, from 40 percent to 56 percent. By comparison, households with access to flush toilets rose from 13 percent in 1991 to 22 percent in 2001. Whilst in most of the districts only a few households have no access to toilet facilities, Ghanzi, Ngamiland West and Kweneng West districts have very high figures -88 percent, 76 percent and 55 percent respectively. The situation in which children in these areas live could be visualised on that basis. Morevover, household level figures tend to downplay the severity of the situation when viewed against the percentage of children living in the associated households.

## e) Energy Fuel

A shift in fuel used for cooking and lighting is evident from a comparative analysis of results from the two censuses. Fifty-nine percent of households used paraffin for lighting in 1991 compared with 64 percent in 2001 (Table $21 \& 22$ ). As regards electricity usage,

[^6]the figure has risen from 10 percent to 25 percent, in terms of the percentage of households using that fuel for lighting. There has been a reduction in the percentage of households using wood for cooking over the ten-year period, in favour of LPG gas - a decline from 64 to 46 percent and a rise from 22 to 41 percent, respectively for households using firewood and LPG Gas. Amid concerns from environmentalist regarding the usage of firewood, the observed reduction is a positive development for the usage of natural resources.

## f) Households Ownership of Communication Facilities

Household ownership of communication mediums (radio, television, personal computer and phones) depends to a large extent on their necessity as well as affordability of the particular item. Households ownership of these items therefore varies widely across district, with disproportionately high percentages in towns and cities.


Figure 16 above (and Table 20) shows that there are gender disparities in respect of ownership of radios, television, personal computers and phones. Male-headed households invariably are in the majority of households owning the gadget in reference, when compared with female-headed households. To the extent that most children live in female-headed households, the degree with which these disparities affect children's access to such gadgets is evident.

## g) Refuse Disposal

Collection of solid waste for disposal remains largely a town and cities undertaking. Almost all households in towns and cities have their solid waste collected (either regularly or irregularly). At national level, 36.4 percent of all households in Botswana have solid waste collected from their households for disposal (Table 24). The use of rubbish pit for refuse disposal is largely the most common mode of disposal, accounting
for 41.2 percent. This rather high figure might have serious environmental implication given that the use of a rubbish pit may lead to pollution of under ground water. Moreover in using of these pits, household seldom assess the suitability of the soil for the purpose at hand.

## 6 LIMITATIONS AND IMPLICATIONS ON THE INDICATORS

a) Preamble

Generally data from Botswana censuses are fairly reliable and therefore resulting indicators are fairly accurate. However, some limitations need to be outlined to give users of statistical information a feel regarding the perceived accuracy and reliability of the data.

## b) Level of Detail

Census data do not provide enough details of the circumstances of the children, but rather only give a snapshot of the situation of children at the time of the census. It is not possible to establish whether the conditions of the child, as given during the census, represent the usual (de jure) or the situation as at the census (de facto) situation. There is need to collect detailed information on the de jure characteristics of the child.

## c) Changes in sub-district Boundaries

It is usually better to provide indicators at the lowest administrative levels possible, i.e. sub-district level. However some changes occurred in some sub-district boundaries between the 1991 and the 2001 census. This renders comparison of indicators impossible at that level, for successive censuses. Apart from that, sub-district boundaries are often established on the basis of administrative convenience and this effectively means very little attention is paid to the identification of the boundaries.

## d) Changes in Question Between Censuses

Information collected from one census to another tends to be variable, making the comparison of the data difficult. It is imperative to collect similar information across censuses to assess trends directly and accurately. While improvements in questions should be accommodated in successive censuses, the extent to which they affect indicator trend need due consideration.

## e) Parental Survival and Orphans

In the case of parental survival, the connotation of real parent and biological parent may seem close but they are very distinct. In translating the parental survival question into Setswana the emphasis usually carries a practical connotation rather than the biological concept. Furthermore, even when the interpretation is correct, for cases where children were born out of wedlock and the biological father having abandoned the child, actual survivorship of the father might distort the picture regarding the classification of the affected child. The extent to which the resulting data could be affected by these issues is a matter to be considered in looking at derived indicators.

## f) Deaths

In an effort to avoid the multiple recording of the same death by more than one household, only deaths of persons who stayed with the household for at least 14 days before the occurrence of the deaths were recorded. Thus non-household members who die in a household in reference would qualify to be recorded by the household provided they meet the 14 -day criterion. There are lot of imperfection with the approach since there would be cases of deaths of persons who stayed less than 14 days with the household and also institutional deaths. The issue is, to what extent has the 14 days qualification been correctly understood or recalled accurately by the respondent.

## g) Household Durables

Households were asked about their ownership of household durables. For obvious reasons, a qualification was made to restrict the ownership to durables in the housing unit in reference. Avoiding multiple counting of durable goods has a bearing on the quality of the results. While only items in good working condition were to be recorded, for items with component parts, does missing a part disqualify a durable good under the working condition criterion?

## h) Reference Period For Ease of Recall

The reference period for economic activity, fertility and mortality questions was Independence Day 2000, which fell short of a year. While this arrangement is very useful for ease of memory recall, the resulting data must be adjusted accordingly. In making comparisons with other published indicators, it is not obvious when adjustment were made and when they were not. For instance the Crude Death Rate based on the unadjusted 2001 figure is 12.4 deaths per 1000 whereas adjusting results with a slightly higher level of 13.5.

## i) Disability among children

Examining the disabilities at young ages seems to suggest a high degree of misclassification. For instance delayed speech might have been considered inability to speak. Furthermore, comparing the huge figure of mental disability recorded in 2001 with that observed in 1991 would suggest a high degree of inaccuracy in the figures. Also looking suspicious is the consistently high incidence of disability among males than females in spite of the fact that females account for a larger proportion of the totals number of children.

Apart from the issues raised above, there are cases of multiple disabilities hence the figures must be interpreted with caution. In view of that, percentages derived from the figures would be misleading.

## j) Orphan and Vulnerable Children

Vulnerability is essentially a multidimensional phenomenon. Therefore attempting to measure or assess the levels of the phenomenon is a complicated matter. Against the background on the accuracy and the reliability of deaths data, only a superficial assessment of the situation is possible. This limitation actually is compounded by the defacto enumeration approach, which could result with a death reported by all persons who think they are affected by the death.

Recognizing the limitation an attempt was made during the 2001 census to ascertain the place of residence of the person prior to the occurrence of the death. However, even if the place of usual residence is ascertained in as far as the district, the death could not be linked ${ }^{12}$ to the associated household.

## k) Current Fertility Figure

Questions on current fertility seem not to have been answered correctly. Comparing the births with the number surviving should give an direct estimate of infant mortality but the number of deaths is not consistent with the figure obtain from the deaths by age.

[^7]
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## APPENDICES

Table 1: Child Population by Age Group and District 2001

|  | Age Group of Child |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| District | $\mathbf{0 - 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 - 9}$ | $10-14$ | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 7}$ | Total | District \% |
| Gaborone | 14,879 | 15,882 | 15,697 | 10,382 | 56,840 | 30.6 |
| Francistown | 8,773 | 8,395 | 8,508 | 5,474 | 31,150 | 37.5 |
| Lobatse | 2,906 | 2,913 | 2,859 | 1,879 | 10,557 | 35.6 |
| Selebi Phikwe | 4,771 | 5,092 | 4,938 | 3,364 | 18,165 | 36.4 |
| Orapa | 729 | 820 | 814 | 504 | 2,867 | 31.3 |
| Jwaneng | 1,279 | 1,537 | 1,500 | 936 | 5,252 | 34.6 |
| Sowa Town | 248 | 291 | 255 | 167 | 961 | 33.4 |
| Southern | 21,812 | 23,675 | 24,194 | 13,621 | 83,302 | 48.5 |
| South East | 5,939 | 6,396 | 6,481 | 4,116 | 22,932 | 37.8 |
| Kweneng | 27,362 | 28,986 | 29,267 | 17,592 | 103,207 | 44.8 |
| Kgatleng | 8,328 | 9,041 | 9,295 | 5,238 | 31,902 | 43.4 |
| Central | 65,230 | 69,959 | 70,890 | 39,938 | 246,017 | 49.1 |
| North East | 6,291 | 7,216 | 7,678 | 4,190 | 25,375 | 51.4 |
| North West | 17,717 | 18,444 | 18,485 | 10,791 | 65,437 | 45.8 |
| Ghanzi | 4,029 | 4,235 | 4,154 | 2,189 | 14,607 | 44.0 |
| Kgalagadi | 5,353 | 5,414 | 4,953 | 2950 | 18,670 | 44.4 |
| Total | 195,646 | 208,296 | 209,968 | 123,331 | 737,241 | 43.9 |
| \% | 26.5 | 28.3 | 28.5 | 16.7 | 100.0 |  |
| Urban | 95,338 | 99,098 | 97,473 | 62,254 | 354,163 |  |
| All | 100,308 | 109,198 | 112,495 | 61,077 | 383,078 |  |
|  | 195,646 | 208,296 | 209,968 | 123,331 | 737,241 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Male | 98,635 | 104,129 | 104,636 | 60,696 | 368,096 |
| Female | 97,011 | 104,167 | 105,332 | 62,635 | 369,145 |
| All | 195,646 | 208,296 | 209,968 | 123,331 | 737,241 |

Table 2: Distribution of Children by Age and Sex 1991 and 2001

| Age of Child | 1,991 |  |  | 2,001 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| 00 | 20,880 | 21,092 | 41,972 | 21,755 | 21,090 | 42,845 |
| 01 | 16,663 | 16,615 | 33,278 | 18,245 | 17,888 | 36,133 |
| 02 | 18,951 | 19,150 | 38,101 | 18,588 | 18,446 | 37,034 |
| 03 | 19,824 | 19,862 | 39,686 | 19,790 | 19,703 | 39,493 |
| 04 | 20,358 | 20,270 | 40,628 | 20,257 | 19,884 | 40,141 |
| 05 | 19,368 | 19456 | 38,824 | 20,660 | 20,305 | 40,965 |
| 06 | 19,609 | 19751 | 39,360 | 21,147 | 20,666 | 41,813 |
| 07 | 19,635 | 19714 | 39,349 | 21,597 | 21,087 | 42,684 |
| 08 | 19,655 | 20069 | 39,724 | 20,458 | 21,034 | 41,492 |
| 09 | 19,296 | 20061 | 39,357 | 20,267 | 21,075 | 41,342 |
| 10 | 19,718 | 20400 | 40,118 | 22,179 | 22,286 | 44,465 |
| 11 | 18,387 | 18791 | 37,178 | 21,567 | 21,201 | 42,768 |
| 12 | 18,023 | 18809 | 36,832 | 20,428 | 20,736 | 41,164 |
| 13 | 16,600 | 17274 | 33,874 | 20,862 | 21,018 | 41,880 |
| 14 | 17,159 | 18,322 | 35,481 | 19,600 | 20,091 | 39,691 |
| 15 | 16,354 | 17,500 | 33,854 | 20,200 | 20,721 | 40,921 |
| 16 | 16,065 | 17,541 | 33,606 | 20,478 | 21,193 | 41,671 |
| 17 | 13,858 | 15,243 | 29,101 | 20,018 | 20,721 | 40,739 |
| TOTAL | 330,403 | 339,920 | 670,323 | 368,096 | 369,145 | 737,241 |

Distribution of Children by Age and Sex

| Age of <br> Child | 1,991 | \% | $\mathbf{2 , 0 0 1}$ | $\boldsymbol{\%}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| $00-04$ | 193,665 | 28.89 | 195,646 | 26.54 |
| $05-09$ | 196,614 | 29.33 | 208,296 | 28.25 |
| $10-14$ | 183,483 | 27.37 | 209,968 | 28.48 |
| $15-17$ | 96,561 | 14.41 | 123,331 | 16.73 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{6 7 0 , 3 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 7 , 2 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |

Table 3: Number of Children (Orphans and Non-orphans) by District

| District | Orphans | Non-orphans | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Gaborone | 6,595 | 50245 | 56,840 |
| Francistown | 4,735 | 26415 | 31,150 |
| Lobatse | 1,496 | 9061 | 10,557 |
| Selebi | 2,572 | 15593 | 18,165 |
| Phikwe |  |  |  |
| Orapa | 269 | 2598 | 2,867 |
| Jwaneng | 565 | 4687 | 5,252 |
| Sowa Town | 131 | 830 | 961 |
| Southern | 11,594 | 71708 | 83,302 |
| South East | 3,067 | 19865 | 22,932 |
| Kweneng | 14,664 | 88543 | 103,207 |
| Kgatleng | 4,086 | 27816 | 31,902 |
| Central | 40,905 | 205112 | 246,017 |
| North East | 4,855 | 20520 | 25,375 |
| North West | 11,403 | 54034 | 65,437 |
| Ghanzi | 2,091 | 12516 | 14,607 |
| Kgalagadi | 2,800 | 15870 | 18,670 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 1 8 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 5 4 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 7 , 2 4 1}$ |
| \% | 15.17 | 84.83 | 100.00 |

Table 4: Number of Households (with children) by Orphan Type by District

| District | Numbers |  |  | Percentage |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | With <br> Orphans | Without Orphans | Total <br> Number | With Orphans | Without Orphans | Total <br> Number |
| Gaborone | 4,393 | 20,247 | 24,640 | 17.83 | 82.17 | 100.00 |
| Francistown | 2,837 | 8,850 | 11,687 | 24.27 | 75.73 | 100.00 |
| Lobatse | 939 | 3,166 | 4,105 | 22.87 | 77.13 | 100.00 |
| Selebi Phikwe | 1,616 | 5,392 | 7,008 | 23.06 | 76.94 | 100.00 |
| Orapa | 210 | 929 | 1,139 | 18.44 | 81.56 | 100.00 |
| Jwaneng | 384 | 1,730 | 2,114 | 18.16 | 81.84 | 100.00 |
| Sowa Town | 91 | 294 | 385 | 23.64 | 76.36 | 100.00 |
| Southern | 6,554 | 18,861 | 25,415 | 25.79 | 74.21 | 100.00 |
| South East | 1,803 | 6,357 | 8,160 | 22.10 | 77.90 | 100.00 |
| Kweneng | 8,340 | 24,783 | 33,123 | 25.18 | 74.82 | 100.00 |
| Kgatleng | 2,298 | 7,872 | 10,170 | 22.60 | 77.40 | 100.00 |
| Central | 22,246 | 50,774 | 73,020 | 30.47 | 69.53 | 100.00 |
| North East | 2,399 | 4,975 | 7,374 | 32.53 | 67.47 | 100.00 |
| North West | 6,304 | 12,915 | 19,219 | 32.80 | 67.20 | 100.00 |
| Ghanzi | 1,234 | 3,185 | 4,419 | 27.92 | 72.08 | 100.00 |
| Kgalagadi | 1,665 | 4,084 | 5,749 | 28.96 | 71.04 | 100.00 |
| Total | 63,313 | 174,414 | 237,727 | 26.63 | 73.37 | 100.00 |
| Urban | 30,474 | 95,241 | 125,715 | 24.24 | 75.76 | 100.00 |
| Rural | 32,839 | 79,173 | 112,012 | 29.32 | 70.68 | 100.00 |

Table 5a: Disability among Children Aged 0 to 17 Years (2001)

| Disability | $\mathbf{0 0 - 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 5 - 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 7}$ | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Defect of Seeing in 1 Eye | 47 | 230 | 104 | 381 |
| Defect of Seeing in 2 Eyes | 99 | 706 | 393 | 1,198 |
| Blindness in 1 Eye | 18 | 154 | 57 | 229 |
| Blindness in 2 Eyes | 14 | 105 | 47 | 166 |
| Defect of hearing in 1 Ear | 68 | 417 | 106 | 591 |
| Defect of hearing in 2 Ears | 137 | 1,091 | 328 | 1,556 |
| Deafness in 1 Ear | 10 | 74 | 25 | 109 |
| Deafness in 2 Ears | 44 | 248 | 85 | 377 |
| Defect of Speech | 113 | 864 | 236 | 1,213 |
| Inability to Speak | 178 | 675 | 190 | 1,043 |
| Inability to use 1 Leg | 122 | 395 | 152 | 669 |
| Inability to use 2 Legs | 227 | 440 | 124 | 791 |
| Inability to use 1 Arm | 105 | 438 | 146 | 689 |
| Inability to use 2 Arms | 57 | 202 | 46 | 305 |
| Moderate Retardation | 81 | 616 | 263 | 960 |
| Severe Retardation | 75 | 284 | 63 | 422 |
| Mental Illness | 35 | 209 | 112 | 356 |
| Epilepsy | 86 | 444 | 128 | 658 |

Table 5b: Disability Among Children Aged 0 to 17 Years (1991

| Disability | $\mathbf{0 0 - 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 5 - 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 7}$ | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sight Defect (1 Eye) | 35 | 185 | 65 | 285 |
| Sight Defect (2 Eyes) | 65 | 407 | 168 | 640 |
| Blindness in 1 Eye | 39 | 197 | 69 | 305 |
| Blindness in 2 Eyes | 46 | 87 | 33 | 166 |
| Hearing defect (1 Ear) | 55 | 285 | 96 | 436 |
| Hearing defect (2 Ears) | 118 | 1,008 | 239 | 1,365 |
| Deafness in 1 Ear | 7 | 47 | 22 | 76 |
| Deafness in 2 Ears | 44 | 213 | 56 | 313 |
| Speech defect | 131 | 747 | 179 | 1,057 |
| Speaking inability | 149 | 477 | 109 | 735 |
| Inability to use 1 Leg | 109 | 318 | 125 | 552 |
| Inability to use 2 Legs | 180 | 323 | 67 | 570 |
| Inability to use 1 Arm | 85 | 310 | 99 | 494 |
| Inability to use 2 Arms | 52 | 115 | 20 | 187 |
| Mental Retardation | 6 | 2 | 5 | 13 |
| Severe Retardation | 3 | 0 | 3 | 6 |

Table 6: Early Childhood Learning Programme (Ages 3 and 4 years): 2001

| District | Total Number Children | Attending | \% Attending |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Gaborone | 5778 | 1682 | 29.11 |
| Francistown | 3417 | 542 | 15.86 |
| Lobatse | 1121 | 258 | 23.02 |
| Selebi Phikwe | 1883 | 375 | 19.92 |
| Orapa | 280 | 117 | 41.79 |
| Jwaneng | 492 | 212 | 43.09 |
| Sowa Town | 82 | 30 | 36.59 |
| Southern | 9097 | 591 | 6.50 |
| South East | 2314 | 513 | 22.17 |
| Kweneng | 10966 | 789 | 7.19 |
| Kgatleng | 3279 | 452 | 13.78 |
| Central | 26911 | 1587 | 5.90 |
| North East | 2663 | 253 | 9.50 |
| North West | 7439 | 353 | 4.75 |
| Ghanzi | 1704 | 263 | 15.43 |
| Kgalagadi | 2208 | 191 | 8.65 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 9 6 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 2 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 3 1}$ |


| Sex |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Male | 40047 | 3939 | 9.84 |
| Female | 39587 | 4269 | 10.78 |
| All | 79634 | 8208 | 10.31 |


| Age |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 03 | 39493 | 2976 | 7.54 |
| 04 | 40141 | 5232 | 13.03 |
| All | 79634 | 8208 | 10.31 |

Table 7a: Percentage of Children of Primary School Going Age Attending School, 2001

| Age | Male <br> Number of Children | \% <br> Attending | Female <br> Number of Children | \% <br> Attending | Total <br> Number of Children | \% Attending |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 21147 | 37.50 | 20666 | 41.13 | 41813 | 39.29 |
| 7 | 21597 | 79.29 | 21087 | 83.10 | 42684 | 81.18 |
| 8 | 20458 | 89.24 | 21034 | 91.33 | 41492 | 90.30 |
| 9 | 20267 | 91.32 | 21075 | 93.06 | 41342 | 92.20 |
| 10 | 22179 | 91.55 | 22286 | 94.00 | 44465 | 92.78 |
| 11 | 21567 | 91.73 | 21201 | 94.58 | 42768 | 93.14 |
| 12 | 20428 | 91.74 | 20736 | 94.78 | 41164 | 93.27 |
| 13 | 20862 | 90.82 | 21018 | 94.47 | 41880 | 92.65 |
| Total | 168505 | 75.86 | 169103 | 88.45 | 337608 | 77.41 |

Table 7b:Percentage of Children of Primary School Going Age Attending School, 1991

|  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Age | Number of Children | \% Attending | Number of Children | \% Attending | Number of Children | \% Attending |  |
| 6 | 19609 | 13.66 | 19751 | 15.76 | 39360 | 14.72 |  |
| 7 | 19635 | 53.37 | 19714 | 61.05 | 39349 | 57.22 |  |
| 8 | 19655 | 78.06 | 20069 | 84.10 | 39724 | 81.11 |  |
| 9 | 19296 | 84.51 | 20061 | 89.74 | 39357 | 87.18 |  |
| 10 | 19718 | 85.46 | 20400 | 90.97 | 40118 | 88.26 |  |
| 11 | 18387 | 86.35 | 18791 | 92.28 | 37178 | 89.35 |  |
| 12 | 18023 | 82.97 | 18809 | 91.80 | 36832 | 87.48 |  |
| 13 | 16600 | 82.84 | 17274 | 91.17 | 33874 | 87.08 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 5 0 9 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 . 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 4 8 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 . 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 5 7 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 . 7 8}$ |  |

Table 8: Percentage of Children (Aged 2-17 Years) Still School Attendance by District and Sex

| District | Orphans |  |  | Non-Orphan |  |  | All Children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | All | Male | Female | All |  |
| Gaborone | 80.05 | 79.48 | 79.74 | 74.59 | 75.03 | 74.82 | 75.45 |
| Francistown | 76.58 | 77.59 | 77.13 | 67.07 | 68.38 | 67.76 | 69.33 |
| Lobatse | 77.31 | 76.85 | 77.06 | 69.36 | 71.16 | 70.31 | 71.37 |
| Selebi Phikwe | 77.85 | 78.64 | 78.29 | 69.43 | 72.10 | 70.86 | 72.01 |
| Orapa | 83.33 | 86.21 | 84.91 | 80.15 | 82.84 | 81.61 | 81.95 |
| Jwaneng | 86.78 | 81.23 | 83.51 | 79.56 | 77.30 | 78.35 | 78.95 |
| Sowa Town | 92.00 | 81.25 | 85.38 | 73.75 | 77.69 | 75.83 | 77.29 |
| Southern | 71.20 | 77.25 | 74.19 | 62.24 | 65.00 | 63.60 | 65.19 |
| South East | 82.04 | 84.02 | 83.06 | 71.89 | 74.03 | 72.96 | 74.43 |
| Kweneng | 69.97 | 74.10 | 72.05 | 60.55 | 64.99 | 62.77 | 64.21 |
| Kgatleng | 75.61 | 78.98 | 77.24 | 68.39 | 69.71 | 69.04 | 70.18 |
| Central | 72.24 | 75.16 | 73.67 | 61.41 | 63.44 | 62.41 | 64.44 |
| North East | 77.55 | 78.88 | 78.19 | 67.79 | 68.29 | 68.04 | 70.12 |
| North West | 69.86 | 73.29 | 71.57 | 59.68 | 61.40 | 60.55 | 62.64 |
| Ghanzi | 58.45 | 64.29 | 61.30 | 53.30 | 59.97 | 56.62 | 57.35 |
| Kgalagadi | 71.15 | 75.17 | 73.14 | 60.07 | 62.94 | 61.49 | 63.41 |
| Total | 72.80 | 75.96 | 74.39 | 63.6 | 66.10 | 64.87 | 66.44 |
| Urban | 76.26 | 78.48 | 77.45 | 67.66 | 70.32 | 69.06 |  |
| Rural | 70.18 | 73.52 | 71.76 | 60.26 | 61.81 | 61.00 |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Below 10 | 50.53 | 53.59 | 52.05 | 42.10 | 44.45 | 43.27 | 44.28 |
| 10-14 | 89.99 | 93.76 | 91.88 | 91.26 | 94.30 | 92.78 | 92.61 |
| 15-17 | 77.11 | 78.72 | 77.93 | 80.57 | 81.56 | 81.07 | 80.30 |
| All | 72.80 | 75.96 | 74.39 | 63.63 | 66.10 | 64.87 | 66.44 |

Table 9a: Percent Distribution of Under 5 Population 2001

| District | Male | $\begin{array}{r} 2001 \\ \text { Female } \end{array}$ | Total | Male | Percentage <br> Female | Total | \% of Dist. <br> Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gaborone | 7,492 | 7,387 | 14,879 | 7.60 | 7.61 | 7.61 | 8.00 |
| Francistown | 4,409 | 4,364 | 8,773 | 4.47 | 4.50 | 4.48 | 10.57 |
| Lobatse | 1,506 | 1,400 | 2,906 | 1.53 | 1.44 | 1.49 | 9.79 |
| Selebi Phikwe | 2,363 | 2,408 | 4,771 | 2.40 | 2.48 | 2.44 | 9.57 |
| Orapa | 366 | 363 | 729 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 7.97 |
| Jwaneng | 632 | 647 | 1,279 | 0.64 | 0.67 | 0.65 | 8.43 |
| Sowa Town | 132 | 116 | 248 | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 8.61 |
| Southern | 11,022 | 10,790 | 21,812 | 11.17 | 11.12 | 11.15 | 12.71 |
| South East | 3,024 | 2,915 | 5,939 | 3.07 | 3.00 | 3.04 | 9.80 |
| Kweneng | 13,923 | 13,439 | 27,362 | 14.12 | 13.85 | 13.99 | 11.88 |
| Kgatleng | 4,286 | 4,042 | 8,328 | 4.35 | 4.17 | 4.26 | 11.33 |
| Central | 32,703 | 32,527 | 65,230 | 33.16 | 33.53 | 33.34 | 13.01 |
| North East | 3,155 | 3,136 | 6,291 | 3.20 | 3.23 | 3.22 | 12.74 |
| North West | 8,818 | 8,899 | 17,717 | 8.94 | 9.17 | 9.06 | 12.39 |
| Ghanzi | 2,046 | 1,983 | 4,029 | 2.07 | 2.04 | 2.06 | 12.15 |
| Kgalagadi | 2,758 | 2,595 | 5,353 | 2.80 | 2.67 | 2.74 | 12.73 |
| Total | 98,635 | 97,011 | 195,646 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 11.64 |

Table 9b:Percent Distribution of Under 5 Population 1991

|  |  |  | Percentage |  |  | \% of Dist. |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| District | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Population |
| Gaborone | 6,410 | 6,661 | 13,071 | 6.63 | 6.87 | 6.75 | 9.79 |
| Francistown | 4,324 | 4,335 | 8,659 | 4.47 | 4.47 | 4.47 | 13.27 |
| Lobatse | 1,506 | 1,605 | 3,111 | 1.56 | 1.65 | 1.61 | 11.94 |
| Selebi Phikwe | 2,259 | 2,288 | 4,547 | 2.34 | 2.36 | 2.35 | 11.43 |
| Orapa | 424 | 443 | 867 | 0.44 | 0.46 | 0.45 | 9.82 |
| Jwaneng | 577 | 660 | 1,237 | 0.60 | 0.68 | 0.64 | 11.06 |
| Sowa Town | 85 | 68 | 153 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 6.87 |
| Southern | 11,443 | 11,523 | 22,966 | 11.84 | 11.88 | 11.86 | 15.58 |
| South East | 2,844 | 2,844 | 5,688 | 2.94 | 2.93 | 2.94 | 13.05 |
| Kweneng | 13,202 | 12,956 | 26,158 | 13.66 | 13.36 | 13.51 | 15.35 |
| Kgatleng | 4,257 | 4,221 | 8,478 | 4.40 | 4.35 | 4.38 | 14.68 |
| Central | 33,039 | 33,000 | 66,039 | 34.17 | 34.02 | 34.10 | 15.99 |
| North East | 3,753 | 3,608 | 7,361 | 3.88 | 3.72 | 3.80 | 16.98 |
| North West | 8,367 | 8,564 | 16,931 | 8.65 | 8.83 | 8.74 | 15.58 |
| Ghanzi | 2,019 | 1,984 | 4,003 | 2.09 | 2.05 | 2.07 | 16.19 |
| Kgalagadi | 2,167 | 2,229 | 4,396 | 2.24 | 2.30 | 2.27 | 14.12 |
| Total | 96,676 | 96,989 | 193,665 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 14.60 |

Table 10: Child Population by Sex of Household Head

| District | Male | Female | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gaborone | 31982 | 24690 | 56672 |
| Francistown | 15355 | 15661 | 31016 |
| Lobatse | 5119 | 5406 | 10525 |
| Selebi-Phikwe | 9711 | 8418 | 18129 |
| Orapa | 1932 | 900 | 2832 |
| Jwaneng | 3344 | 1873 | 5217 |
| Sowa Town | 610 | 351 | 961 |
| Southern | 36855 | 46357 | 83212 |
| South East | 10875 | 11849 | 22724 |
| Kweneng | 48710 | 54451 | 103161 |
| Kgatleng | 14267 | 17591 | 31858 |
| Central | 99094 | 146466 | 245560 |
| North East | 9582 | 15750 | 25332 |
| North West | 26207 | 38986 | 65193 |
| Ghanzi | 8116 | 6440 | 14556 |
| Kgalagadi | 9275 | 9374 | 18649 |
| Kgalagadi South | 5934 | 5740 | 11674 |
| Kgalagadi North | 3341 | 3634 | 6975 |
| Total | 340309 | 413937 | 754246 |
| Percentage | 45.1 | 54.9 | 100.0 |

Table 11: Percentage of Child Population Living in Household with Communication Medium

| District | Percentage Children in Households with: |  |  |  | Total Child Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Radio | Television | Computer | Phone |  |
| Gaborone | 83.4 | 63.7 | 15.3 | 68.6 | 56,672 |
| Francistown | 82.5 | 45.0 | 5.8 | 52.3 | 31,016 |
| Lobatse | 78.8 | 48.0 | 5.5 | 50.7 | 10,525 |
| Selebi-Phikwe | 78.5 | 42.2 | 3.5 | 49.1 | 18,129 |
| Orapa | 90.7 | 92.3 | 13.3 | 83.3 | 2,832 |
| Jwaneng | 82.9 | 59.6 | 9.7 | 63.8 | 5,217 |
| Sowa Town | 82.2 | 70.6 | 12.1 | 66.1 | 961 |
| Southern | 64.3 | 15.7 | 1.0 | 21.2 | 83,212 |
| South East | 79.6 | 41.3 | 5.1 | 52.1 | 22,724 |
| Kweneng | 66.2 | 19.0 | 1.8 | 23.2 | 103,161 |
| Kgatleng | 76.6 | 29.0 | 2.0 | 38.2 | 31,858 |
| Central | 65.3 | 12.8 | 1.0 | 20.8 | 245,560 |
| North East | 68.9 | 14.8 | 1.3 | 24.4 | 25,332 |
| North West | 62.3 | 12.2 | 1.3 | 22.5 | 65,193 |
| Ghanzi | 52.8 | 10.3 | 1.3 | 16.5 | 14,556 |
| Kgalagadi | 56.2 | 9.9 | 0.5 | 19.0 | 18,649 |
| Total | 68.5 | 22.7 | 2.8 | 29.8 | 735,597 |

Table 12a: Distribution of Child Population by Method of Solid Waste Collection used by Household

| District | Burnt/ |  |  | Rubbish Pit | Other | Not Stated | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Collected | Incinerated | Dumping |  |  |  |  |
| Gaborone | 52,784 | 418 | 715 | 1,153 | 1,550 | 52 | 56,672 |
| Francistown | 28,797 | 131 | 899 | 963 | 194 | 32 | 31,016 |
| Lobatse | 10,008 | 80 | 236 | 137 | 38 | 26 | 10,525 |
| Selebi-Phikwe | 17,418 | 75 | 166 | 384 | 80 | 6 | 18,129 |
| Orapa | 2,810 | - | 14 | - | 8 | - | 2,832 |
| Jwaneng | 4,999 | - | 23 | 183 | 10 | 2 | 5,217 |
| Sowa Town | 956 | - | - | 3 | - | 2 | 961 |
| Southern | 5,645 | 6,521 | 9,677 | 56,616 | 4,670 | 83 | 83,212 |
| South East | 7,396 | 1,737 | 4,286 | 8,301 | 983 | 21 | 22,724 |
| Kweneng | 8,480 | 10,242 | 15,220 | 63,273 | 5,790 | 156 | 103,161 |
| Kgatleng | 4,086 | 6,023 | 3,004 | 17,625 | 1,078 | 42 | 31,858 |
| Central | 19,495 | 29,027 | 40,902 | 138,148 | 17,563 | 425 | 245,560 |
| North East | 3,748 | 1,723 | 1,979 | 16,819 | 997 | 66 | 25,332 |
| North West | 5,769 | 5,177 | 5,570 | 44,095 | 4,454 | 128 | 65,193 |
| Ghanzi | 1,929 | 934 | 1,591 | 8,754 | 1,321 | 27 | 14,556 |
| Kgalagadi | 1,470 | 1,160 | 980 | 14,723 | 312 | 4 | 18,649 |
| Total | 175,790 | 63,248 | 85,262 | 371,177 | 39,048 | 1,072 | 735,597 |
| Percentage | 23.9 | 8.6 | 11.6 | 50.5 | 5.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 |

Table 12b: Distribution of Child Population by Method of Solid Waste Collection used by Household

| District | Burnt/ |  |  | Rubbish Pit | Other | Not Stated | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Collected | Incinerated | Dumping |  |  |  |  |
| Gaborone | 93.1 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| Francistown | 92.8 | 0.4 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| Lobatse | 95.1 | 0.8 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 |
| Selebi-Phikwe | 96.1 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| Orapa | 99.2 | - | 0.5 | - | 0.3 | - | 100.0 |
| Jwaneng | 95.8 | - | 0.4 | 3.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| Sowa Town | 99.5 | - | - | 0.3 | - | 0.2 | 100.0 |
| Southern | 6.8 | 7.8 | 11.6 | 68.0 | 5.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| South East | 32.5 | 7.6 | 18.9 | 36.5 | 4.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| Kweneng | 8.2 | 9.9 | 14.8 | 61.3 | 5.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 |
| Kgatleng | 12.8 | 18.9 | 9.4 | 55.3 | 3.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| Central | 7.9 | 11.8 | 16.7 | 56.3 | 7.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 |
| North East | 14.8 | 6.8 | 7.8 | 66.4 | 3.9 | 0.3 | 100.0 |
| North West | 8.8 | 7.9 | 8.5 | 67.6 | 6.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 |
| Ghanzi | 13.3 | 6.4 | 10.9 | 60.1 | 9.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 |
| Kgalagadi | 7.9 | 6.2 | 5.3 | 78.9 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 23.9 | 8.6 | 11.6 | 50.5 | 5.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 |

Table 13 a: Distribution of Child Population by Lighting Source Used by Household

| District | Electricity | Solar <br> Power | Gas | Biogas | Wood | Paraffin | Candle | Candle/ Paraffin | Other | Not Stated | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gaborone | 30937 | 65 | 434 | 23 | 28 | 20615 | 2224 | 2292 | 43 | 11 | 56672 |
| Francistown | 11666 | 45 | 223 | 27 | 106 | 14000 | 2845 | 1991 | 86 | 27 | 31016 |
| Lobatse | 3424 | 11 | 106 | 4 | 24 | 4404 | 1499 | 1020 | 9 | 24 | 10525 |
| Selebi- <br> Phikwe | 8551 | 14 | 87 | 3 | 57 | 7964 | 742 | 677 | 23 | 11 | 18129 |
| Orapa | 2806 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2832 |
| Jwaneng | 3495 | 13 | 38 | 1 | 4 | 1305 | 243 | 106 | 8 | 4 | 5217 |
| Sowa Town | 956 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 961 |
| Southern | 5989 | 228 | 256 | 27 | 6805 | 47379 | 14496 | 7742 | 212 | 78 | 83212 |
| South East | 8281 | 32 | 127 | 14 | 83 | 10971 | 1711 | 1446 | 42 | 17 | 22724 |
| Kweneng | 13219 | 167 | 621 | 63 | 10814 | 64815 | 5452 | 7663 | 258 | 89 | 103161 |
| Kgatleng | 7784 | 37 | 141 | 23 | 621 | 19652 | 1509 | 1984 | 78 | 29 | 31858 |
| Central | 24773 | 347 | 713 | 109 | 23562 | 165915 | 11108 | 17312 | 1444 | 277 | 245560 |
| North East | 2165 | 54 | 83 | 42 | 461 | 18414 | 1760 | 2238 | 81 | 34 | 25332 |
| North West | 8839 | 141 | 153 | 31 | 5505 | 35172 | 7485 | 6268 | 1328 | 271 | 65193 |
| Ghanzi | 1396 | 36 | 43 | 5 | 3200 | 5369 | 2288 | 1463 | 741 | 15 | 14556 |
| Kgalagadi | 1402 | 97 | 41 | 2 | 2026 | 8688 | 4335 | 1785 | 264 | 9 | 18649 |
| Total | 135683 | 1287 | 3096 | 374 | 53296 | 424663 | 57697 | 53987 | 4617 | 897 | 735597 |
| Percentage | 18.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 7.2 | 57.7 | 7.8 | 7.3 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 |

Table 13b: District Distribution of Child Population by Lighting Source Used by Household

| District | Electricity | Solar <br> Power | Gas | Bio-gas | Wood | Paraffin | Candle | Candle/Par affin | Other | Not Stated | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gaborone | 54.6 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.4 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| Francistown | 37.6 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 45.1 | 9.2 | 6.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| Lobatse | 32.5 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 41.8 | 14.2 | 9.7 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 |
| Selebi-Phikwe | 47.2 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 43.9 | 4.1 | 3.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| Orapa | 99.1 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| Jwaneng | 67.0 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 25.0 | 4.7 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| Sowa Town | 99.5 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| Southern | 7.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 8.2 | 56.9 | 17.4 | 9.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| South East | 36.4 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 48.3 | 7.5 | 6.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| Kweneng | 12.8 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 10.5 | 62.8 | 5.3 | 7.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| Kgatleng | 24.4 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 61.7 | 4.7 | 6.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| Central | 10.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 9.6 | 67.6 | 4.5 | 7.1 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| North East | 8.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 1.8 | 72.7 | 6.9 | 8.8 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| North West | 13.6 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 8.4 | 54.0 | 11.5 | 9.6 | 2.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 |
| Ghanzi | 9.6 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 22.0 | 36.9 | 15.7 | 10.1 | 5.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| Kgalagadi | 7.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 10.9 | 46.6 | 23.2 | 9.6 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 18.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 7.2 | 57.7 | 7.8 | 7.3 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 |

Table 14a: Distribution of Child Population by Cooking Source Used by Household

| District | Electricity | S/Power | Gas | B/as | Wood | Paraffin | Other | Not <br> Stated | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gaborone | 7738 | 224 | 42656 | 263 | 1150 | 4389 | 200 | 52 | 56672 |
| Francistown | 1767 | 64 | 16914 | 167 | 9213 | 2737 | 109 | 45 | 31016 |
| Lobatse | 442 | 20 | 7382 | 40 | 892 | 1684 | 30 | 35 | 10525 |
| SelebiPhikwe | 3858 | 61 | 8298 | 89 | 4652 | 1091 | 57 | 23 | 18129 |
| Orapa | 127 | 0 | 2700 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2832 |
| Jwaneng | 197 | 11 | 4383 | 21 | 93 | 487 | 8 | 17 | 5217 |
| Sowa Town | 317 | 4 | 553 | 18 | 49 | 14 | 4 | 0 | 959 |
| Southern | 451 | 67 | 18251 | 498 | 60428 | 2448 | 1011 | 58 | 83212 |
| South East | 1136 | 77 | 14504 | 86 | 5556 | 1140 | 211 | 14 | 22724 |
| Kweneng | 1254 | 163 | 35241 | 907 | 61328 | 3829 | 393 | 46 | 103161 |
| Kgatleng | 525 | 33 | 11451 | 208 | 18501 | 961 | 157 | 22 | 31858 |
| Central | 1932 | 172 | 34488 | 904 | 203039 | 3921 | 815 | 289 | 245560 |
| North East | 187 | 18 | 2672 | 150 | 21791 | 451 | 46 | 17 | 25332 |
| North West | 900 | 60 | 10002 | 173 | 52738 | 1089 | 156 | 75 | 65193 |
| Ghanzi | 80 | 13 | 3266 | 57 | 10800 | 154 | 184 | 2 | 14556 |
| Kgalagadi | 95 | 17 | 3052 | 69 | 15170 | 175 | 63 | 8 | 18649 |
| Total | 21006 | 1004 | 215813 | 3653 | 465400 | 24572 | 3444 | 703 | 735595 |
| Percentage | 2.86 | 0.14 | 29.34 | 0.50 | 63.27 | 3.34 | 0.47 | 0.10 | 100.00 |

Table 14b: District Percentage Distribution of Child Population by Cooking Source Used by Household

| District | Electricity | S/Power | Gas | B/gas | Wood | Paraffin | Other | Not Stated |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gaborone | 13.65 | 0.40 | 75.27 | 0.46 | 2.03 | 7.74 | 0.35 | 0.09 | 100.0 |
| Francistown | 5.70 | 0.21 | 54.53 | 0.54 | 29.70 | 8.82 | 0.35 | 0.15 | 100.0 |
| Lobatse | 4.20 | 0.19 | 70.14 | 0.38 | 8.48 | 16.00 | 0.29 | 0.33 | 100.0 |
| Selebi-Phikwe | 21.28 | 0.34 | 45.77 | 0.49 | 25.66 | 6.02 | 0.31 | 0.13 | 100.0 |
| Orapa | 4.48 | 0.00 | 95.34 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.0 |
| Jwaneng | 3.78 | 0.21 | 84.01 | 0.40 | 1.78 | 9.33 | 0.15 | 0.33 | 100.0 |
| Sowa Town | 33.06 | 0.42 | 57.66 | 1.88 | 5.11 | 1.46 | 0.42 | 0.00 | 100.0 |
| Southern | 0.54 | 0.08 | 21.93 | 0.60 | 72.62 | 2.94 | 1.21 | 0.07 | 100.0 |
| South East | 5.00 | 0.34 | 63.83 | 0.38 | 24.45 | 5.02 | 0.93 | 0.06 | 100.0 |
| Kweneng | 1.22 | 0.16 | 34.16 | 0.88 | 59.45 | 3.71 | 0.38 | 0.04 | 100.0 |
| Kgatleng | 1.65 | 0.10 | 35.94 | 0.65 | 58.07 | 3.02 | 0.49 | 0.07 | 100.0 |
| Central | 0.79 | 0.07 | 14.04 | 0.37 | 82.68 | 1.60 | 0.33 | 0.12 | 100.0 |
| North East | 0.74 | 0.07 | 10.55 | 0.59 | 86.02 | 1.78 | 0.18 | 0.07 | 100.0 |
| North West | 1.38 | 0.09 | 15.34 | 0.27 | 80.90 | 1.67 | 0.24 | 0.12 | 100.0 |
| Ghanzi | 0.55 | 0.09 | 22.44 | 0.39 | 74.20 | 1.06 | 1.26 | 0.01 | 100.0 |
| Kgalagadi | 0.51 | 0.09 | 16.37 | 0.37 | 81.34 | 0.94 | 0.34 | 0.04 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2.86 | 0.14 | 29.34 | 0.50 | 63.27 | 3.34 | 0.47 | 0.10 | 100.0 |

Table 15: Child Population by Water Source Used in Household

| District | Piped | Well | Borehole | Other | Not Stated Total |  | Improved Source \% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2001 | 1991 |
| Gaborone | 56,672 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56,672 | 2100.0 | 100.0 |
| Francistown | 31,016 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31,016 | $\checkmark \quad 100.0$ | 100.0 |
| Lobatse | 10,525 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,525 | -100.0 | 100.0 |
| Selibe Phikwe | 18,129 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18,129 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Orapa | 2,832 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,832 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Jwaneng | 5,217 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,217 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Sowa Town | 961 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 961 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Southern | 71,107 | 1,081 | 4,193 | 6,778 | 53 | 83,212 | 291.8 | 78.4 |
| South East | 21,640 | 16 | 307 | 759 | 2 | 22,724 | 96.7 | 94.3 |
| Kweneng | 89,089 | 1,531 | 5,998 | 6,478 | 65 | 103,161 | 93.7 | 90.7 |
| Kgatleng | 28,500 | 468 | 1,493 | 1,381 | 16 | 31,858 | - 95.6 | 76.9 |
| Central | 192,871 | 12,185 | 24,250 | 15,999 | 255 | 245,560 | - 93.4 | 88.1 |
| North East | 22,884 | 265 | 180 | 1,985 | 18 | 25,332 | - 92.1 | 84.0 |
| North West | 47,851 | 2,676 | 5,890 | 8,734 | 42 | 65,193 | 36.5 | 88.4 |
| Ghanzi | 9,876 | 143 | 3,158 | 1,374 | 5 | 14,556 | 90.5 | 73.0 |
| Kgalagadi | 15,505 | 55 | 1,784 | 1,305 | 0 | 18,649 | 93.0 | 92.5 |
| Total | 624,675 | 18,420 | 47,253 | 44,793 | 456 | 735,597 | 93.8 | 85.1 |
| 2001 (\%) | 84.9 | 2.5 | 6.4 | 6.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 93.8 |  |
| 1991 (\%) | 69.5 | 9.6 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | - 85.1 |  |

Table 16a: Dependency by Presence of Children (orphans and non-orphans) in Household

|  | $\mathbf{0 - 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 - 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 +}$ | Dependency |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| With Orphan Child | 196013.1 | 206,132 | 21109.0 | 105.3 |
| With Non-orphan Child | 418,750 | 495,597 | 39,292 | 92.4 |
| Without Children | - | 257,877 | 22,666 | 8.8 |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 1 4 , 7 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 9 , 6 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 , 0 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 . 7}$ |

Table 16b: Dependency Ration by Urban Rural

|  | $\mathbf{0 - 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 - 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 +}$ | Dependency |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Urban | 292671 | 584556 | 33253 | 55.8 |
| Rural | 323379 | 396712 | 50292 | 94.2 |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 1 6 0 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 1 2 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 5 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 . 3}$ |

Table 17a: Number of Children living in Households by Toilet Facility Used (2001)

|  | Flush |  | Pit Latrine |  | None | Not Stated |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | \% Flush |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gaborone | 31,828 | 24,793 | 45 | 6 | 56,672 | 56.2 |  |
| Francistown | 9,956 | 20,969 | 83 | 8 | 31,016 | 32.1 |  |
| Lobatse | 3,873 | 6,636 | 7 | 9 | 10,525 | 36.8 |  |
| Selibe Phikwe | 7,812 | 10,284 | 28 | 5 | 18,129 | 43.1 |  |
| Orapa | 2,824 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 2,832 | 99.7 |  |
| Jwaneng | 4,268 | 767 | 180 | 2 | 5,217 | 81.8 |  |
| Sowa Town | 961 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 961 | 100.0 |  |
| Southern | 3,264 | 54,744 | 25,136 | 68 | 83,212 | 3.9 |  |
| South East | 5,048 | 16,398 | 1,278 | 0 | 22,724 | 22.2 |  |
| Kweneng | 7,461 | 65,546 | 30,075 | 79 | 103,161 | 7.2 |  |
| Kgatleng | 2,797 | 24,990 | 4,044 | 27 | 31,858 | 8.8 |  |
| Central | 13,445 | 136,584 | 95,329 | 202 | 245,560 | 5.5 |  |
| North East | 1,425 | 17,117 | 6,733 | 57 | 25,332 | 5.6 |  |
| North West | 3,580 | 21,566 | 39,989 | 58 | 65,193 | 5.5 |  |
| Ghanzi | 1,048 | 3,573 | 9,918 | 17 | 14,556 | 7.2 |  |
| Kgalagadi | 1,129 | 10,629 | 6,886 | 5 | 18,649 | 6.1 |  |
| Total | 100,719 | 414,604 | 219,731 | 543 | 735,597 | 13.7 |  |
| \% | 13.7 | 56.4 | 29.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 13.7 |  |

Table 16b: Number of Children living in Households by Type of Toilet Facility Used (1991)

| District | Flush | Pit Latrine | None | Not Stated Total |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Gaborone | 17,153 | 26,541 | 165 | 561 | 44,420 | 38.6 |
| Francistown | 5,185 | 14,966 | 6,042 | 235 | 26,428 | 19.6 |
| Lobatse | 2,609 | 7,084 | 680 | 196 | 10,569 | 24.7 |
| Selebi Phikwe | 5,853 | 7,176 | 2,473 | 129 | 15,631 | 37.4 |
| Orapa | 3,566 | 2 | 2 | 19 | 3,589 | 99.4 |
| Jwaneng | 3,582 | 450 | 10 | 20 | 4,062 | 88.2 |
| Sowa Town | 369 | 6 | 61 | 0 | 436 | 84.6 |
| Southern | 1,250 | 33,402 | 47,784 | 670 | 83,106 | 1.5 |
| South East | 1,859 | 14,417 | 4,620 | 145 | 21,041 | 8.8 |
| Kweneng | 2,689 | 31,916 | 57,230 | 564 | 92,399 | 2.9 |
| Kgatleng | 959 | 17,064 | 12,466 | 170 | 30,659 | 3.1 |
| Central | 4,992 | 66,696 | 155,277 | 1,179 | 228,144 | 2.2 |
| North East | 531 | 6,914 | 17,977 | 207 | 25,629 | 2.1 |
| North West | 1,394 | 8,807 | 43,639 | 295 | 54,135 | 2.6 |
| Ghanzi | 462 | 1,414 | 10,068 | 67 | 12,011 | 3.8 |
| Kgalagadi | 454 | 3,497 | 12,153 | 125 | 16,229 | 2.8 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 2 , 9 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 0 , 3 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 0 , 6 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 5 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 8 , 4 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 9}$ |
| \% | 7.9 | 36.0 | 55.4 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 7.9 |

Table 18: Distribution of Orphans BY Orphan Type by District

| Numbers |  |  |  |  | Percentages |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Paternal | Maternal | Double | Total | Paternal | Maternal | Double | Total |
| Gaborone | 4,271 | 1,440 | 884 | 6,595 | 6.04 | 5.42 | 6.08 | 5.90 |
| Francistown | 3,020 | 1,079 | 636 | 4,735 | 4.27 | 4.06 | 4.38 | 4.23 |
| Lobatse | 958 | 355 | 183 | 1,496 | 1.35 | 1.34 | 1.26 | 1.34 |
| Selebi Phikwe | 1,587 | 667 | 318 | 2,572 | 2.24 | 2.51 | 2.19 | 2.30 |
| Orapa | 152 | 84 | 33 | 269 | 0.21 | 0.32 | 0.23 | 0.24 |
| Jwaneng | 338 | 163 | 64 | 565 | 0.48 | 0.61 | 0.44 | 0.51 |
| Sowa Town | 83 | 28 | 20 | 131 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.12 |
| Southern | 7,354 | 2,901 | 1339 | 11,594 | 10.40 | 10.91 | 9.21 | 10.37 |
| South East | 2,043 | 615 | 409 | 3,067 | 2.89 | 2.31 | 2.81 | 2.74 |
| Kweneng | 9,507 | 3,247 | 1910 | 14,664 | 13.44 | 12.21 | 13.14 | 13.11 |
| Kgatleng | 2,500 | 1,075 | 511 | 4,086 | 3.54 | 4.04 | 3.52 | 3.65 |
| Central | 26,090 | 9,660 | 5155 | 40,905 | 36.90 | 36.33 | 35.48 | 36.58 |
| North East | 3,058 | 1,050 | 747 | 4,855 | 4.32 | 3.95 | 5.14 | 4.34 |
| North West | 7,164 | 2,619 | 1620 | 11,403 | 10.13 | 9.85 | 11.15 | 10.20 |
| Ghanzi | 1,058 | 711 | 322 | 2,091 | 1.50 | 2.67 | 2.22 | 1.87 |
| Kgalagadi | 1,528 | 892 | 380 | 2,800 | 2.16 | 3.36 | 2.62 | 2.50 |
| Total | 70,711 | 26,586 | 14,531 | 111,828 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Urban | 33,206 | 11,758 | 6,650 | 51,614 | 46.96 | 44.23 | 45.76 | 46.15 |
| Rural | 37,505 | 14,828 | 7,881 | 60,214 | 53.04 | 55.77 | 54.24 | 53.85 |
|  | 70,711 | 26,586 | 14,531 | 111,828 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 35,015 | 13,301 | 7273 | 55,589 | 49.52 | 50.03 | 50.05 | 49.71 |
| Female | 35,696 | 13,285 | 7258 | 56,239 | 50.48 | 49.97 | 49.95 | 50.29 |
| All | 70,711 | 26,586 | 14,531 | 111,828 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 8,947 | 2,441 | 962 | 12,350 | 12.65 | 9.18 | 6.62 | 11.04 |
| 5-9 | 17,888 | 6,831 | 3,203 | 27,922 | 25.30 | 25.69 | 22.04 | 24.97 |
| 10-14 | 24,948 | 10,504 | 5,861 | 41,313 | 35.28 | 39.51 | 40.33 | 36.94 |
| 15-17 | 18,928 | 6,810 | 4,505 | 30,243 | 26.77 | 25.61 | 31.00 | 27.04 |
| All | 70,711 | 26,586 | 14,531 | 111,828 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Table 19: Orphans by Relationship and Sex of Household Head

| Relationship To Head | Sex of Head |  | Total | Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Sex of Head | Total |
|  | Male | Female |  |  | Male | Female |
| Head | 1,183 | 1,045 |  | 2,228 | 3.4 | 1.4 | 2.0 |
| Spouse/Partner | 78 | 12 | 90 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Son/Daughter | 5,215 | 28,625 | 33,840 | 14.8 | 37.6 | 30.3 |
| Child-in-Law | 24 | 24 | 48 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Step Child | 491 | 461 | 952 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 0.9 |
| Grand Child | 11,371 | 26,203 | 37,574 | 32.2 | 34.4 | 33.7 |
| Brother/Sister | 3,789 | 5,825 | 9,614 | 10.7 | 7.6 | 8.6 |
| Nephew/Niece | 5,676 | 5,600 | 11,276 | 16.1 | 7.3 | 10.1 |
| Other Relative | 5,355 | 7,267 | 12,621 | 15.2 | 9.5 | 11.3 |
| Not Related | 2,118 | 1,150 | 3,268 | 6.0 | 1.5 | 2.9 |
| Total | 35,300 | 76,212 | 111,512 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| \% | 31.7 | 68.3 | 100.0 |  |  |  |

## Table 20: Percentage of Households Owing Functioning Communication Mediums

| District | Radio | Television | Computer | Phone | Percentage Ownership per District |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Radio | Television | Computer | Phone |
| Gaborone | 44,540 | 28,401 | 7,371 | 34,137 | 76.17 | 48.57 | 12.61 | 58.38 |
| Francistown | 17,853 | 8,644 | 1,262 | 10,783 | 77.21 | 37.38 | 5.46 | 46.63 |
| Lobatse | 6,392 | 3,319 | 412 | 3,697 | 75.00 | 38.94 | 4.83 | 43.38 |
| Selebi Phikwe | 11,128 | 5,077 | 528 | 6,354 | 72.93 | 33.27 | 3.46 | 41.64 |
| Orapa | 2,036 | 1,785 | 322 | 1,694 | 78.98 | 69.24 | 12.49 | 65.71 |
| Jwaneng | 3,458 | 2,120 | 394 | 2,570 | 73.87 | 45.29 | 8.42 | 54.90 |
| Sowa Town | 771 | 606 | 101 | 602 | 78.75 | 61.90 | 10.32 | 61.49 |
| Southern | 22,987 | 6,058 | 470 | 8,416 | 61.79 | 16.28 | 1.26 | 22.62 |
| South East | 11,147 | 5,672 | 929 | 7,309 | 75.42 | 38.38 | 6.29 | 49.45 |
| Kweneng | 34,501 | 10,777 | 1,187 | 13,728 | 65.62 | 20.50 | 2.26 | 26.11 |
| Kgatleng | 12,513 | 4,578 | 411 | 6,043 | 73.37 | 26.84 | 2.41 | 35.43 |
| Central | 70,067 | 16,118 | 1,372 | 25,105 | 63.53 | 14.61 | 1.24 | 22.76 |
| North East | 7,063 | 1,722 | 163 | 2,810 | 65.19 | 15.89 | 1.50 | 25.94 |
| North West | 19,193 | 4,585 | 648 | 8,253 | 62.09 | 14.83 | 2.10 | 26.70 |
| Ghanzi | 4,274 | 1,028 | 120 | 1,762 | 54.96 | 13.22 | 1.54 | 22.66 |
| Kgalagadi | 5,459 | 1,223 | 105 | 2,283 | 56.49 | 12.66 | 1.09 | 23.63 |
| Total | 273,382 | 101,713 | 15,795 | 135,546 | 67.55 | 25.13 | 3.90 | 33.49 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 174691 | 82728 | 13990 | 109099 | 74.41 | 35.24 | 5.96 | 46.47 |
| Rural | 98689 | 18990 | 1805 | 26440 | 58.07 | 11.17 | 1.06 | 15.56 |

Table 21: Percentage of Households by Principal Energy Source Used for Lighting (1991 and 2001)

|  | 1991 |  |  | 2001 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Energy Source | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total |
| Electricity | 17.5 | 2.1 | 10.1 | 37 | 8.1 | 24.8 |
| Solar | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| Gas (LPG) | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Biogas | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Wood | 0.8 | 23.1 | 11.4 | 0.6 | 12.5 | 5.6 |
| Paraffin | 65.7 | 63.1 | 64.5 | 49.2 | 59.2 | 53.4 |
| Candle | 14.1 | 9.3 | 11.8 | 7 | 10.9 | 8.6 |
| Paraffin/Candle | - | - | - | 5.2 | 7.1 | 6 |
| Other | 0.7 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 0.6 |
| Not Stated | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
|  | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

Table 22: Percentage of Households by Principal Cooking Energy Source Used

|  | 1991 |  |  | 2001 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Energy Source | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total |
| Electricity | 4.8 | 0.3 | 2.7 | 7.6 | 1.08 | 4.86 |
| Solar | - | - | - | 0.28 | 0.08 | 0.19 |
| Gas (LPG) | 35.6 | 6.3 | 21.6 | 57.65 | 17.01 | 40.59 |
| Bio-gas | - | - | - | 0.66 | 0.44 | 0.57 |
| Wood/charcoal | 40.6 | 90.6 | 64.3 | 22.83 | 77.34 | 45.72 |
| Paraffin | 18.2 | 2.5 | 10.7 | 10.47 | 3.47 | 7.53 |
| Cow dung | - | - | - | 0.02 | 0.23 | 0.11 |
| Coal | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.12 |
| Crop Waste | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.06 | 0.08 |
| Other | 0.6 | - | 0.5 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.11 |
| Not Stated | - | - | - | 0.14 | 0.1 | 0.12 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | 100 | 100.01 | 100 |

Table 23 Households Access/Ownership of Toilet Facilities by Urban/Rural (1991 \& 2001)

|  | Urban |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | 1991 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |  |
| Flush Toilet | 33395 | 74801 | 4153 | 12496 | 37547 | 87297 |  |
| Pit Latrines | 85326 | 137696 | 27330 | 64543 | 112656 | 202240 |  |
| Other | 96 | 12096 | 175 | 11846 | 271 | 23942 |  |
| None | 26289 | 10163 | 99445 | 81064 | 125735 | 91227 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 4 5 1 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 4 7 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 1 1 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 9 9 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 6 2 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 4 7 0 6}$ |  |


|  | Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Urban | Rural |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1991 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| Flush Toilet | 23.0 | 31.9 | 3.2 | 7.4 | 13.6 | 21.6 |
| Pit Latrines | 58.8 | 58.7 | 20.8 | 38.0 | 40.8 | 50.0 |
| Other | 0.1 | 5.2 | 0.1 | 7.0 | 0.1 | 5.9 |
| None | 18.1 | 4.3 | 75.9 | 47.7 | 45.5 | 22.5 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100.0 |

Table 24: Households By Method of Refuse Disposal, 2001

| District | Regularly <br> Collected | Irregularly <br> Collected | Incinerated <br> Burnt | Roadside <br> Dumping | Rubbish <br> Pit |  | Not Stated | Total | \% <br> Collected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gaborone | 44525 | 9723 | 362 | 768 | 1053 | 1973 | 72 | 58476 | 92.8 |
| Francistown | 20308 | 1349 | 93 | 534 | 666 | 143 | 31 | 23124 | 93.7 |
| Lobatse | 6416 | 1704 | 44 | 186 | 119 | 32 | 22 | 8523 | 95.3 |
| Selebi Phikwe | 12196 | 2539 | 49 | 98 | 290 | 65 | 21 | 15258 | 96.6 |
| Orapa | 2567 | 1 | 1 | 6 |  | 3 |  | 2578 | 99.6 |
| Jwaneng | 4448 | 10 |  | 37 | 173 | 6 | 6 | 4681 | 95.2 |
| Sowa Town | 969 | 3 |  |  | 2 | 4 | 4 | 979 | 99.3 |
| Southern | 2159 | 1490 | 2811 | 4457 | 723923 | 2308 | 54 | 37202 | 9.8 |
| South East | 4292 | 1021 | 963 | 2651 | 15094 | 743 | 16 | 14780 | 35.9 |
| Kweneng | 4314 | 1980 | - 5318 | 7313 | 30618 | 2960 | 75 | 52578 | 12.0 |
| Kgatleng | 1944 | 776 | 3277 | 1849 | - 8452 | 736 | 20 | 17054 | 15.9 |
| Central | 7592 | 4860 | 12735 | 17998 | - 59123 | 7752 | 227 | 110287 | 11.3 |
| North East | 1609 | 626 | -683 | 888 | 6551 | 456 | 21 | 10834 | 20.6 |
| North West | 3384 | 1519 | - 2130 | 2512 | 219461 | 1838 | 69 | 30913 | 15.9 |
| Ghanzi | 1043 | 626 | 517 | 757 | 4289 | 523 | 21 | 7776 | 21.5 |
| Kgalagadi | 773 | 599 | -676 | 507 | 76904 | 200 | 4 | 9663 | 14.2 |
| Total | 118539 | 28826 | - 29660 | 40561 | 166718 | 19742 | 660 | 404706 | 36.4 |
| \% | 29.3 | 7.1 | 7.3 | 10.0 | - 41.2 | 4.9 | 0.2 | 100.0 |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 45.96 | 10.26 | 5.49 | 6.18 | 829.13 | 2.83 | 0.15 | 100.00 |  |
| Rural | 6.27 | 2.80 | 9.87 | 15.33 | 57.86 | 7.71 | 0.17 | 100.00 |  |
| Total | 29.29 | 7.12 | 7.33 | 10.02 | 41.19 | 4.88 | 0.16 | 100.00 |  |

Table 25: Household Source of Water Supply by Urban Rural (1991 and 2001)

|  | Urban | Rural |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| Piped or tapped | 145,106 | 230,273 | 67,627 | 124,652 | 212,733 | 354,925 |
| Well | - | 93 | 11,487 | 7,145 | 11,487 | 7,238 |
| Borehole | - | 128 | 20,759 | 20,676 | 20,759 | 20,804 |
| Other | - | 4,263 | 31,230 | 17,476 | 31,230 | 21,739 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 4 5 , 1 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 4 , 7 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 1 , 1 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 9 , 9 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 6 , 2 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 4 , 7 0 6}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{U r b a n}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| Piped or tapped | 100.00 | 98.09 | 51.58 | 73.35 | 77.02 | 87.70 |
| Well | - | 0.04 | 8.76 | 4.20 | 4.16 | 1.79 |
| Borehole | - | 0.05 | 15.83 | 12.17 | 7.52 | 5.14 |
| Other | - | 1.82 | 23.82 | 10.28 | 11.31 | 7.87 |
| Total | $\mathbf{R a n a l}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |  |  |

Table 26: Population Distribution By Sex and Age Group

| Age | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | 1991 (\%) |
| 0-4 | 99242 | 12.20 | 97087 | 11.19 | 196329 | 11.68 | 14.60 |
| 5-9 | 104770 | 12.88 | 104248 | 12.02 | 209018 | 12.44 | 14.82 |
| 10-14 | 105280 | 12.94 | 105414 | 12.16 | 210694 | 12.53 | 13.83 |
| 15-19 | 100239 | 12.32 | 104160 | 12.01 | 204399 | 12.16 | 11.50 |
| 20-24 | 80668 | 9.91 | 90510 | 10.44 | 171178 | 10.18 | 8.81 |
| 25-29 | 72337 | 8.89 | 75931 | 8.76 | 148268 | 8.82 | 7.53 |
| 30-34 | 55343 | 6.80 | 58797 | 6.78 | 114139 | 6.79 | 6.08 |
| 35-39 | 44935 | 5.52 | 50722 | 5.85 | 95657 | 5.69 | 4.99 |
| 40-44 | 35457 | 4.36 | 41165 | 4.75 | 76622 | 4.56 | 3.63 |
| 45-49 | 29757 | 3.66 | 33931 | 3.91 | 63688 | 3.79 | 2.94 |
| 50-54 | 21723 | 2.67 | 23528 | 2.71 | 45251 | 2.69 | 2.54 |
| 55-59 | 15776 | 1.94 | 17640 | 2.03 | 33415 | 1.99 | 2.09 |
| 60-64 | 13461 | 1.65 | 15248 | 1.76 | 28709 | 1.71 | 1.72 |
| 65-69 | 11184 | 1.37 | 14369 | 1.66 | 25554 | 1.52 | 1.50 |
| 70+ | 23454 | 2.88 | 34487 | 3.98 | 57940 | 3.45 | 3.44 |
| Total | 813625 | 100.00 | 867238 | 100.00 | 1680863 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| \% | 48.41 |  | 51.59 |  |  |  |  |

Table 27: Percentage Population Distribution by Sex (1991 \& 2001

|  | 1991 |  |  |  |  |  | 2001 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Male | Female | Total | Sex Ratio | Male | Female | Total | Sex Ratio |  |  |  |
| Gaborone | 68248 | 65220 | 133468 | 105 | 91,851 | 94,156 | 186007 | 98 |  |  |  |
| Francistown | 31665 | 33579 | 65244 | 94 | 40,147 | 42,876 | 83023 | 94 |  |  |  |
| Lobatse | 12541 | 13511 | 26052 | 93 | 14,205 | 15,484 | 29689 | 92 |  |  |  |
| Selebi Phikwe | 20343 | 19429 | 39772 | 105 | 24,336 | 25,513 | 49849 | 95 |  |  |  |
| Orapa | 4713 | 4114 | 8827 | 115 | 4,837 | 4,314 | 9151 | 112 |  |  |  |
| Jwaneng | 5895 | 5293 | 11188 | 111 | 7,616 | 7,563 | 15179 | 101 |  |  |  |
| Sowa Town | 1462 | 766 | 2228 | 191 | 1,571 | 1,308 | 2879 | 120 |  |  |  |
| Southern | 68377 | 79012 | 147389 | 87 | 82,368 | 89,284 | 171652 | 92 |  |  |  |
| South East | 20591 | 22993 | 43584 | 90 | 29,129 | 31,494 | 60623 | 92 |  |  |  |
| Kweneng | 80328 | 90109 | 170437 | 89 | 111,547 | 118,788 | 230335 | 94 |  |  |  |
| Kgatleng | 27348 | 30422 | 57770 | 90 | 35,734 | 37,773 | 73507 | 95 |  |  |  |
| Central | 193545 | 219425 | 412970 | 88 | 240,000 | 261,381 | 501381 | 92 |  |  |  |
| North East | 19920 | 23434 | 43354 | 85 | 23,164 | 26,235 | 49399 | 88 |  |  |  |
| North West | 51959 | 56701 | 108660 | 92 | 69,056 | 73,914 | 142970 | 93 |  |  |  |
| Ghanzi | 12401 | 12318 | 24719 | 101 | 16,916 | 16,254 | 33170 | 104 |  |  |  |
| Kgalagadi | 15064 | 16070 | 31134 | 94 | 21,148 | 20,901 | 42049 | 101 |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 3 4 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 9 2 3 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 2 6 7 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 1 3 6 2 5}$ | 867238 | $\mathbf{1 6 8 0 8 6 3}$ | 94 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 285340 | 320899 | 606239 | 89 | 428856 | 481624 | 910480 | 89 |  |  |  |
| Rural | 349060 | 371497 | 720557 | 94 | 384769 | 385614 | 770383 | 100 |  |  |  |

Percentage Population Distribution by Sex (1991 \& 2001)

| District | Male | Female | Total | Sex Ratio | Male | Female | Total | Sex Ratio |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Gaborone | 10.76 | 9.42 | 10.06 | 105 | 11.29 | 10.86 | 11.07 | 98 |
| Francistown | 4.99 | 4.85 | 4.92 | 94 | 4.93 | 4.94 | 4.94 | 94 |
| Lobatse | 1.98 | 1.95 | 1.96 | 93 | 1.75 | 1.79 | 1.77 | 92 |
| Selebi Phikwe | 3.21 | 2.81 | 3.00 | 105 | 2.99 | 2.94 | 2.97 | 95 |
| Orapa | 0.74 | 0.59 | 0.67 | 115 | 0.59 | 0.50 | 0.54 | 112 |
| Jwaneng | 0.93 | 0.76 | 0.84 | 111 | 0.94 | 0.87 | 0.90 | 101 |
| Sowa Town | 0.23 | 0.11 | 0.17 | 191 | 0.19 | 0.15 | 0.17 | 120 |
| Southern | 10.78 | 11.41 | 11.11 | 87 | 10.12 | 10.30 | 10.21 | 92 |
| South East | 3.25 | 3.32 | 3.28 | 90 | 3.58 | 3.63 | 3.61 | 92 |
| Kweneng | 12.66 | 13.01 | 12.85 | 89 | 13.71 | 13.70 | 13.70 | 94 |
| Kgatleng | 4.31 | 4.39 | 4.35 | 90 | 4.39 | 4.36 | 4.37 | 95 |
| Central | 30.51 | 31.69 | 31.13 | 88 | 29.50 | 30.14 | 29.83 | 92 |
| North East | 3.14 | 3.38 | 3.27 | 85 | 2.85 | 3.03 | 2.94 | 88 |
| North West | 8.19 | 8.19 | 8.19 | 92 | 8.49 | 8.52 | 8.51 | 93 |
| Ghanzi | 1.95 | 1.78 | 1.86 | 101 | 2.08 | 1.87 | 1.97 | 104 |
| Kgalagadi | 2.37 | 2.32 | 2.35 | 94 | 2.60 | 2.41 | 2.50 | 101 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100.00 | 92 | 100 | 100 | 100.00 | 94 |

Table 28: Age Distribution of Deaths (1991 \& 2001)

| Age Group | Male 1991 |  |  | Female 1991 |  | Male 2001 |  | Female 2001 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pop | Deaths |  | Pop | Deaths | Pop | Deaths | Pop Deaths |  |
| 0-4 |  | 96676 | 1457 | 96989 | 1410 | 99242 | 1644 | 97087 | 1486 |
| 5-9 |  | 97563 | 321 | 99051 | 262 | 104770 | 284 | 104248 | 264 |
| 10-14 |  | 89887 | 188 | 93596 | 157 | 105280 | 129 | 105414 | 153 |
| 15-19 |  | 73112 | 245 | 79413 | 237 | 100239 | 203 | 104160 | 235 |
| 20-24 |  | 54261 | 324 | 62622 | 258 | 80668 | 439 | 90510 | 796 |
| 25-29 |  | 45408 | 422 | 54440 | 310 | 72337 | 960 | 75931 | 1409 |
| 30-34 |  | 36620 | 403 | 44013 | 280 | 55343 | 1398 | 58797 | 1294 |
| 35-39 |  | 30487 | 431 | 35741 | 260 | 44935 | 1282 | 50722 | 1028 |
| 40-44 |  | 23197 | 388 | 25001 | 236 | 35457 | 1030 | 41165 | 777 |
| 45-49 |  | 18553 | 382 | 20496 | 236 | 29757 | 922 | 33931 | 590 |
| 50-54 |  | 16192 | 374 | 17519 | 243 | 21723 | 599 | 23528 | 373 |
| 55-59 |  | 12746 | 376 | 15003 | 250 | 15776 | 471 | 17640 | 287 |
| 60-64 |  | 10485 | 453 | 12271 | 297 | 13461 | 437 | 15248 | 286 |
| $65+$ |  | 29213 | 2723 | 36241 | 2295 | 34638 | 1983 | 48856 | 1957 |
| Total |  | 634400 | 8488 | 692396 | 6733 | 813625 | 11782 | 867238 | 10934 |

Age Specific Deaths Rates (Per 1000)

| Age Group | ASDR 1991 |  |  |  | ASDR 2001 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  | Total |  | Male |  | Female | Total |
| 0-4 |  | 15.1 | 14.5 | 14.8 |  | 16.6 | 15.3 | 15.9 |
| 5-9 |  | 3.3 | 2.6 | 3.0 |  | 2.7 | 2.5 | 2.6 |
| 10-14 |  | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.9 |  | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.3 |
| 15-19 |  | 3.4 | 3.0 | 3.2 |  | 2.0 | 2.3 | 2.1 |
| 20-24 |  | 6.0 | 4.1 | 5.0 |  | 5.4 | 8.8 | 7.2 |
| 25-29 |  | 9.3 | 5.7 | 7.3 |  | 13.3 | 18.6 | 16.0 |
| 30-34 |  | 11.0 | 6.4 | 8.5 |  | 25.3 | 22.0 | 23.6 |
| 35-39 |  | 14.1 | 7.3 | 10.4 |  | 28.5 | 20.3 | 24.2 |
| 40-44 |  | 16.7 | 9.4 | 12.9 |  | 29.1 | 18.9 | 23.6 |
| 45-49 |  | 20.6 | 11.5 | 15.8 |  | 31.0 | 17.4 | 23.7 |
| 50-54 |  | 23.1 | 13.9 | 18.3 |  | 27.6 | 15.9 | 21.5 |
| 55-59 |  | 29.5 | 16.7 | 22.6 |  | 29.9 | 16.3 | 22.7 |
| 60-64 |  | 43.2 | 24.2 | 33.0 |  | 32.5 | 18.7 | 25.2 |
| 65+ |  | 93.2 | 63.3 | 76.7 |  | 57.2 | 40.1 | 47.2 |
| Total |  | 13.4 | 9.7 | 11.5 |  | 14.5 | 12.6 | 13.5 |

Table 29: Distribution (\%) of Households by Age Group and Sex of Head

|  | 1991 |  |  |  |  | 2001 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age Group | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |  | Total |
| $5-9$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| $10-14$ | 0.86 | 0.75 | 0.81 | 0.59 | 0.49 | 0.55 |  |
| $15-19$ | 3.93 | 4.23 | 4.07 | 3.55 | 3.57 | 3.56 |  |
| $20-24$ | 8.30 | 9.30 | 8.77 | 8.10 | 8.55 | 8.31 |  |
| $25-29$ | 11.85 | 12.89 | 12.34 | 12.86 | 11.86 | 12.40 |  |
| $30-34$ | 12.05 | 13.00 | 12.49 | 13.09 | 12.05 | 12.61 |  |
| $35-39$ | 11.86 | 11.77 | 11.82 | 12.04 | 11.81 | 11.93 |  |
| $40-44$ | 9.86 | 8.78 | 9.35 | 10.64 | 10.72 | 10.68 |  |
| $45-49$ | 8.40 | 7.57 | 8.01 | 9.59 | 9.41 | 9.51 |  |
| $50-54$ | 7.65 | 6.70 | 7.20 | 7.26 | 6.66 | 6.98 |  |
| $55-59$ | 6.28 | 6.08 | 6.19 | 5.40 | 5.21 | 5.32 |  |
| $60-64$ | 5.22 | 5.22 | 5.22 | 4.71 | 4.64 | 4.68 |  |
| $65-69$ | 4.42 | 4.67 | 4.54 | 3.94 | 4.50 | 4.20 |  |
| $70-74$ | 3.45 | 3.33 | 3.39 | 3.22 | 4.05 | 3.60 |  |
| $75+$ | 5.88 | 5.70 | 5.80 | 5.01 | 6.47 | 5.69 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | 100 | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural | 53.59 | 48.10 | 51.01 | 57.99 | 58.02 | 58.01 |  |
| Urban | 46.41 | 51.90 | 48.99 | 42.01 | 41.98 | 41.99 |  |
| All | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |  |

Table 30: Household Distribution by Sex of Household Head (1991 \& 2001)

| District | 1991 |  |  | 2001 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Gaborone | 24,361 | 12,278 | 36,639 | 35,671 | 22,805 | 58,476 |
| Francistown | 10,280 | 6,509 | 16,789 | 13,384 | 9,740 | 23,124 |
| Lobatse | 4,215 | 2,477 | 6,692 | 4,918 | 3,605 | 8,523 |
| Selebi Phikwe | 7,226 | 3,369 | 10,595 | 9,210 | 6,048 | 15,258 |
| Orapa | 1,296 | 509 | 1,805 | 1,675 | 903 | 2,578 |
| Jwaneng | 2,266 | 695 | 2,961 | 3,099 | 1,582 | 4,681 |
| Sowa Town | 454 | 176 | 630 | 682 | 297 | 979 |
| Southern | 12,580 | 15,061 | 27,641 | 19,274 | 17,928 | 37,202 |
| South East | 4,620 | 4,252 | 8,872 | 8,148 | 6,632 | 14,780 |
| Kweneng | 15,543 | 17,358 | 32,901 | 28,476 | 24,102 | 52,578 |
| Kgatleng | 6,286 | 5,933 | 12,219 | 9,209 | 7,845 | 17,054 |
| Central | 36,177 | 41,452 | 77,629 | 53,828 | 56,459 | 110,287 |
| North East | 3,497 | 4,588 | 8,085 | 4,923 | 5,911 | 10,834 |
| North West | 10,964 | 10,691 | 21,655 | 15,046 | 15,867 | 30,913 |
| Ghanzi | 2,850 | 2,146 | 4,996 | 4,825 | 2,951 | 7,776 |
| Kgalagadi | 3,519 | 2,581 | 6,100 | 5,639 | 4,024 | 9,663 |
| Total | 146,134 | 130,075 | 276,209 | 218,007 | 186,699 | 404,706 |
| Household Size | 4.45 | 5.05 | 4.73 | 3.82 | 4.41 | 4.10 |
| Urban | 78314 | 62569 | 140883 | 126,425 | 108,332 | 234,757 |
| Rural | 67820 | 67506 | 135326 | 91,582 | 78,367 | 169,949 |

## Percentage (of each sex) Household Distribution by Sex of Household Head (1991 \& 2001)

|  | 1991 |  |  | 2001 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |  |  |
| Gaborone | 16.67 | 9.44 | 13.26 | 16.36 | 12.21 | 14.45 |  |  |
| Francistown | 7.03 | 5.00 | 6.08 | 6.14 | 5.22 | 5.71 |  |  |
| Lobatse | 2.88 | 1.90 | 2.42 | 2.26 | 1.93 | 2.11 |  |  |
| Selebi Phikwe | 4.94 | 2.59 | 3.84 | 4.22 | 3.24 | 3.77 |  |  |
| Orapa | 0.89 | 0.39 | 0.65 | 0.77 | 0.48 | 0.64 |  |  |
| Jwaneng | 1.55 | 0.53 | 1.07 | 1.42 | 0.85 | 1.16 |  |  |
| Sowa Town | 0.31 | 0.14 | 0.23 | 0.31 | 0.16 | 0.24 |  |  |
| Southern | 8.61 | 11.58 | 10.01 | 8.84 | 9.60 | 9.19 |  |  |
| South East | 3.16 | 3.27 | 3.21 | 3.74 | 3.55 | 3.65 |  |  |
| Kweneng | 10.64 | 13.34 | 11.91 | 13.06 | 12.91 | 12.99 |  |  |
| Kgatleng | 4.30 | 4.56 | 4.42 | 4.22 | 4.20 | 4.21 |  |  |
| Central | 24.76 | 31.87 | 28.11 | 24.69 | 30.24 | 27.25 |  |  |
| North East | 2.39 | 3.53 | 2.93 | 2.26 | 3.17 | 2.68 |  |  |
| North West | 7.50 | 8.22 | 7.84 | 6.90 | 8.50 | 7.64 |  |  |
| Ghanzi | 1.95 | 1.65 | 1.81 | 2.21 | 1.58 | 1.92 |  |  |
| Kgalagadi | 2.41 | 1.98 | 2.21 | 2.59 | 2.16 | 2.39 |  |  |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100.00 | 100 | 100 | 100.00 |  |  |

Table 31: Child Head Households by District

|  | 2001 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| District | Female | Total | \% |  |
| Gaborone | 197 | 361 | 558 | 6.4 |
| Francistown | 126 | 187 | 313 | 3.6 |
| Lobatse | 37 | 58 | 95 | 1.1 |
| Selebi-Phikwe | 78 | 104 | 182 | 2.1 |
| Orapa | 13 | 26 | 39 | 0.5 |
| Jwaneng | 7 | 37 | 44 | 0.5 |
| Sowa Town | 6 | 12 | 18 | 0.2 |
| Southern | 734 | 350 | 1084 | 12.5 |
| South East | 82 | 85 | 167 | 1.9 |
| Kweneng | 814 | 463 | 1277 | 14.7 |
| Kgatleng | 244 | 119 | 363 | 4.2 |
| Central | 1858 | 1390 | 3248 | 37.5 |
| North East | 142 | 147 | 289 | 3.3 |
| North West | 362 | 323 | 685 | 7.9 |
| Ghanzi | 94 | 46 | 140 | 1.6 |
| Kgalagadi | 98 | 60 | 158 | 1.8 |
| Total | 4892 | 3768 | 8660 | 100.0 |
| Percentage | 56.5 | 43.5 | 100.0 |  |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The figure published by CSO is 12.4 which seem not to have been adjusted for the required 12 months period.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Current fertility figures, which are the basis for direct estimates, are not consistent with other related figures and therefore less reliable.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ The official minimum age is above the 5 years threshold used by English-medium school.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ Usual Economic Activity is measured over 12 months.
    ${ }^{5}$ In terms of current economic activity, working for at least one hour in the past seven days

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ Total fertility rate (TFR) is based on birth occurring to women aged 15 to 49 years.
    ${ }^{7}$ The reported figure of 20823 cover 11 months hence was adjusted by a factor of $12 / 11$ months to bring it to 12 months.

[^5]:    ${ }^{8}$ The 8 villages are Mmadinare, Shoshong, Tsabong, Gumare, Letlhakeng, Lerala, Kopong, and Maitengwe.
    ${ }^{9}$ The 19 villages are Molepolole, Serowe, Kanye, Maun, Mahalapye, Mochudi, Palapye, Ramotswa, Mogoditshane, Moshupa, Thamaga, Tlokweng, Letlhakane, Ghanzi, Kasane, Tonota, Bobonong, Tutume, and Gabane.
    ${ }^{10}$ An average annual growth rate over 7 percent indicates that the population of a given locality at least doubled in size.

[^6]:    ${ }^{11}$ Improved in this context refers to piped water indoors, stand pipe, and borehole/well.

[^7]:    ${ }^{12}$ Linking is only possible up to the district level rather than the household

